FAO Amber Rudd, Home Secretary 93c Venner Road, Sydenham, London SE26 5HU 0208 659 7713

September 22, 2017

Dear Sir,

I am writing in connection with the historical investigation into Edward Heath. I realise my plea will fall on deaf ears but I feel duty bound to make it, so I would be grateful if this letter could be drawn to Miss Rudd's attention. I will say that I voted for Brexit, so am no fan of Heath, who was fanatically pro-European Union.

Briefly, this entire investigation is a farce, as are the investigations into most allegations of historical crimes. I draw a distinction here between real crimes, ie crimes that are reported at the time, or when a body turns up years later, and the sort of rubbish peddled by the sexual grievance industry, that "survivors" are so traumatised they take years or even decades to come forward. The reality is that these women are either not right in the head or are simply lying. In this case I would assume that most of these allegations are being made by men. Well, guess what, men lie about rape too.

Regarding Mr Heath, although he was widely believed to be homosexual because he never married, he became Leader of the Conservative Party in 1965 when homosexuality was still illegal. If there had been any credible evidence of his homosexuality he would never have become Leader, and would certainly never have become Prime Minister. With regard to sex crimes against children including murder, due to his status, Special Branch and quite likely many others would by needs have been part of this conspiracy. Many people regard politicians as corrupt. It remains to be seen if the entire establishment is that corrupt, frankly I think not.

Rumours about the rich, the famous, the powerful...are two a penny today, and even in a pre-Internet world they were far from uncommon. The first big attempt to smear Heath happened in January 1984 when an odious individual named Kevin Kenneth O'Dowd stood trial at the Central Criminal Court for rape. O'Dowd claimed to have pornographic photos of both Heath and a police officer. These claims were refuted by the victim and Mr Heath himself. In 2007, O'Dowd was tried for rape again. He was convicted and given a life sentence, but two years later the Court of Appeal ruled "regrettably" that the jury verdicts were unsafe.

Having been smeared by a serial rapist, he is now the posthumous victim of mischief-makers and head cases. I would suggest the best way to stop further mischief in this and other historical cases is to do the following:

Take a statement from each accuser. Then, before they sign, tell each one that his or her allegations will be thoroughly investigated, and if it can be shown they have lied or made some gross material error of fact, they will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law. Doubly so if they make any sort of compensation claim.

The Chief Constable of Wiltshire is a total idiot, and should be asked to resign. Apart from that, politicians in the UK and elsewhere are being played by the sexual grievance industry.

For documention on such lies, please visit the International False Rape Timeline which I set up last year.

Yours Faithfully, A Baron

https://www.infotextmanuscripts.org/falserape/