

**The International False Rape Timeline**  
(Webmaster: Alexander Baron)  
<https://www.falserapetimeline.org/>  
93c Venner Road,  
Sydenham,  
London SE26 5HU  
0208 659 7713

**Lord Chief Justice Burnett,  
Royal Courts Of Justice,  
The Strand,  
London WC2A 2LL**

**August 7, 2022,**

**Dear Lord Chief Justice,**

**Re *R v Magson*, judgment handed down July 29. First, thank you for dismissing this outrageous appeal. I have been in fairly regular contact with the mother of James Knight since Magson's first appeal, and without breaking any confidences I can tell you that she, the victim's father, the victim's five siblings, and his two young daughters are all grateful you have not allowed yourself to be blinded by this sophistry. This letter is not about Magson though, rather it is about the two women who facilitated both her appeals.**

**For over thirty years, the lawyer Harriet Wistrich and her journalist collaborator Julie Bindel have been assisting women to get away with murder. If that sounds like a strong claim, I have the evidence to back it up.**

**Wistrich and Bindel are second wave feminists who believe that anytime a woman kills a man, the bastard deserved it. In this connection I invite you to read Phyllis Chesler's insane defence of the female serial killer Aileen Wuornos which was published in a peer-reviewed law journal.**

**In 1985, teenage prostitute Emma Humphreys murdered her lover Trevor Armitage, stabbing him through the heart as he lay on his back in a drunken stupor. In 1992, Bindel and Wistrich took up her case, and in 1995 she was released from prison after a successful appeal. She died from a drug overdose three years later aged just thirty. You will find extensive documentation on and discussion of the Humphreys case at the following link which shows Wistrich and Bindel are not simply dishonest but delusional:**

**<https://www.infotextmanuscripts.org/wikinut/wikinut-the-canonisation-of-emma-humphreys.html>**

**In 2011, Sally Challen was convicted of murdering her husband on overwhelming evidence. Thanks to Bindel and Wistrich she is now walking the street and has been recast as the victim. Bindel and Wistrich have developed a none-too-subtle formula for these appeals.**

**Under the *Criminal Appeal Act*, fresh evidence will be admitted only due to very strict criteria. This appears to be universal. The reason for this is that given enough time and resources, evidence can be adduced to prove an obviously guilty defendant innocent. In Texas, opponents of the death penalty have managed to stay the execution of murderer and serial rapist Rodney Reed by adducing such evidence – outright lies.**

**Wistrich has found a way around the bar to fresh evidence. In the Challen case she adduced a new psychiatric condition – dependent personality disorder. This was first identified in 2015. By identified, I mean voted into existence; this is how all these so-called personality disorders come about. As a judge you will have heard a lot about severe personality disorder and the like. These are not diagnoses, rather they are descriptive. A personality disorder is no more a “mental illness” than road rage.**

**But, having identified this chimera as a ground of appeal, Wistrich then sent Bindel to visit Challen who disclosed (ie invented) a history of abuse, including sexual abuse. The supporters of kept woman and murderess Zoora Shah tried something similar but Lord Justice Kennedy trashed her appeal in one of the most poetic judgments ever handed down by the Court.**

**In the Challen case, Wistrich used this so-called personality disorder to crack open the door then piggy backed the new (fake) abuse evidence onto it. She is quite candid about this and has done it in other cases, successfully for Fariessia Martin, unsuccessfully in the Jane Andrews case. Andrews was paroled anyway but is now back in prison after harassing a former lover.**

**Wistrich and Bindel have two websites, both with inappropriate names: the Centre For Women’s Justice and Justice For Women. This is actually a charity. If you take a look at the cases therein I am sure you will agree that these women should not receive charitable funds for their evil endeavours, and that this abuse of the appeal process should not be tolerated.**

**Yours Faithfully,  
A Baron**