

Searchlight On Gerry Gable

Secret State Asset Or Liability?

A Critique Of Larry O'Hara's

Flawed Conspiracy Theory

O'Hara's Case Stated

Since the Spring of 1993, a number of publications have appeared which analyse in considerable depth the activities of Gerry Gable and the Searchlight Organisation. (1) Most of these publications have been written by myself, two of them: *A Lie Too Far* and *At War With The Truth*, were written by Larry O'Hara. (2) O'Hara, a schoolteacher and former Trotskyite, is a Marxist and a member of the Green Party as well as active in the misnamed anti-fascist movement, although unlike his fellow travellers he believes, or leads one to believe, that "fascists" are not only members of the human race but that they have rights like everyone else, including the right to free speech.

Like all anti-fascists, O'Hara is scornful of conspiracy theories. Anything attacking the Illuminati or the "international bankers" can be dismissed as the latest update of the *Protocols Of Zion*, in other words, pure anti-Semitism. O'Hara has this much in common with his arch-enemy Gerry Gable; he also has something else in common with Gable, as well as being scornful of anti-Jewish and right wing conspiracy theories, he is an ardent conspiracy theorist in his own right, and whereas Gable sees "Nazis" everywhere, O'Hara sees Gable and the "Secret State" everywhere.

It is probably true to say that O'Hara has become more than a little paranoid about both Gable and the Secret State in recent months, not entirely without reason. He has stopped short of accusing me of working for *Searchlight*, but he certainly believes that other sworn enemies of Gable are in fact in his pocket, including certain elements of the BNP and even the charmingly named Pro-Fascist Action, publishers of *Target*. (3) O'Hara has espoused his conspiracy theory in his two aforementioned pamphlets as well as in published articles and letters and in private correspondence and conversation. I think it is fair to say that Mr O'Hara makes out as strong a case as he can in both these pamphlets, his case is basically that Gerry Gable is an agent of M.I.5., probably paid, and that, as stated in *At War With The Truth*, (page 3):

SEARCHLIGHT IS A 'LISTENING POST' ON
THE LEFT AND A CHANNEL FOR
DISINFORMATION - YOU HAVE BEEN
WARNED. THIS IS A GENUINE SECURITY
ALERT!!

O'Hara's Blindspots

O'Hara's conspiracy theory is not without its merits, but for two reasons it is seriously flawed. The first is cognitive dissonance. O'Hara, like many scientists, and - as demonstrated by numerous, recent, successful criminal appeals - like very many police officers, sees only the evidence that fits his theory once it has been framed. He then subconsciously discards everything that doesn't fit in with it or offers alternative, and inconsistent, explanations. The other fault is that O'Hara, being a Marxist, has been schooled to ignore the Jewish Question. The Marxist line here, indeed, the only line acceptable in academia or socially, is that there is no Jewish Question, that the Jews have been persecuted purely on account of their religion or race, and that they have never conspired, organised or engaged in subversive activity. Any suggestion to the contrary, however mild, is routinely branded anti-Semitic. The most cursory examination of the uncontested facts of recent history reveals this to be absolute claptrap, for although there is no all-encompassing Jewish (or Zionist) conspiracy, Jews have organised historically better than any other group, ethnic or otherwise, and they have not hesitated to exploit either their persecution, real and imagined, or their brethren in high places in order to further their own ends. (4)

Searchlight is first and foremost a Jewish organisation; it was set up by (racial) Jews for the explicit purposes of furthering a Jewish (some would say un-Jewish) agenda, in particular, a campaign of unconditional hatred and lying propaganda against *goyim*. Not all *goyim*, but specifically those *goyim* it considers to be a threat to Organised Jewry. In Searchlight's case, this is race-conscious *Aryan goyim*.

O'Hara's Theory: Facts Versus Fantasy - The Cases For And Against

O'Hara's first serious critique of Gable and the Searchlight Organisation was published in *Lobster* as *Notes from the Underground: British Fascism 1974-92*, Part Two, December 1992, issue 24, pages 15-21. This contains, among other things, an excellent analysis of the spurious 1981 Notting Hill Carnival bomb plot. It is not however until the publication of *A Lie Too Far* that he makes any serious attempt to portray Gable as a Secret State asset. He does this by first and foremost dragging in the Gable memorandum. (5)

There can be no doubt that Gable was in fact working at the behest of the Secret State when he smeared journalist Phil Kelly. Or at best, he was doing somebody in the Secret State a favour. The Gable memorandum was revealed to the world by the *New Statesman*, a creeping left wing magazine run by champagne socialists. (6) Dated 2nd May, 1977, it was said by Gable in the original article to have been written after he had lunched with a Secret State employee. (7) We have only Gable's word for this; both the current writer and O'Hara have recently proved many times what an arch-liar this little scumbag is; many people we have interviewed - not only on the far right - had come to that conclusion long before either of us put pen to paper. In view of Gable's total disrespect for the truth, his Nazi-hunting fantasies and his bizarre conspiracy theories, little if anything he ever says is to be trusted. (8) But just what is the background to the Gable memorandum?

The Agee-Hosenball Deportations And ABC Official Secrets Trial

As stated, the main target of this now notorious document was a so-called radical journalist named Phil Kelly. Kelly had been heavily involved in two campaigns, both the sort of thing the establishment disapproves of most strongly. One of these was a campaign to prevent Mark Hosenball and Philip Agee being thrown out of the country; the other was the so-called ABC Official Secrets campaign. The campaigns were closely interlinked.

Hosenball was a journalist on the London *Evening Standard* who had also worked for the London-based events magazine *Time Out*, which in the usual parlance can best be described as radical, leftist, anti-racist and anti-establishment. Like Hosenball, Agee was an American, he was also a CIA agent turned author who had since become a thorn in his former employer's side. He was alleged to have been responsible for the deaths of two British agents behind the Iron Curtain. The state's beef against Hosenball was that he had endangered national security in connection with matters pertaining to the IRA. Both men were ordered out of the country. Agee was served with a deportation order on February 17, 1977. (9) He finally left the UK for Holland on June 3. (10) Hosenball had left the country voluntarily the previous month. (11)

The actual details of the allegations against the two men were typically vague, and a titanic battle ensued to keep them in the country. It was an open secret - indeed it is patently obvious - that Agee was expelled under pressure from the CIA. But the case attracted considerable public support including from prominent public figures.

While there may well have been genuine cause for concern over Philip Agee, the ABC Official Secrets case was a complete farce from beginning to end. ABC by the way stands for Absurdity, Bail and Confiscation. (12) It began with the arrest on Friday, 18th February 1977 of three men: Crispin Aubrey, Duncan Campbell and John Berry. Campbell and Aubrey were *Time Out* journalists; Berry was a former soldier. The three men were arrested by a team of Special Branch officers who allegedly got lost on the way to the police station. (13) The arrests were made in connection with an article called *The Eavesdroppers* which was published in the *SEVEN DAYS* column of the May 21-27, 1976 issue.

The Eavesdroppers was about GCHQ, UK SIGINT (Signals Intelligence) and the NSA. Although nowadays the government is somewhat more open about such matters, this is always a subject which causes paranoia in high places, leading to phone taps, surveillance, disinformation and the like. The reason for this is always given as national security, but many people, particularly those on the left, argue, often with good reason, that the Secret

State is more interested in monitoring the activities of ordinary decent citizens (like themselves) than with the supposed Red Menace (or whoever is the current bugbear). (14)

Initially, all three were refused bail but a defence committee was quickly formed and they were subsequently bailed. (Berry was bailed only after an application to the High Court). All three men were given tough bail conditions.

At the time, there were also allegations of dirty tricks, in particular "black bag jobs" and phone taps. Two address books went missing, all three men were placed under surveillance, and a number of cars were broken into, including Phil Kelly's. (15) What was so terrible that these three men were to be subjected to this sort of treatment? The *Eavesdroppers* article was written by Duncan Campbell and Mark Hosenball. The government had clearly wanted to prosecute over this, but feared that to do so would simply attract unnecessary (and unwanted) publicity. (16)

Berry's role was that he had read the Campbell-Hosenball article and had got in touch with the magazine. Campbell and Aubrey visited Berry at his flat, and were arrested as they left. Berry had been a SIGINT operator in the 9th Signals Regiment in Cyprus in 1970. Any information he could have given his visitors was more than seven years out of date. *The Eavesdroppers* had been researched by Campbell, who had obtained all the information therein from the public domain, eg technical magazines. (17) The first trial of the ABC three had to be abandoned when it was revealed that the foreman of the jury was an ex-SAS soldier who had served in Cyprus and Northern Ireland. This disclosure was made during a TV programme. (18) The jury had been vetted by Special Branch; such vetting was still secret at that time. (19) The second trial resulted in the conviction of all three defendants, and slaps on the wrist. Aubrey and Campbell were each given a conditional discharge, Berry a suspended sentence, and all three ordered to contribute towards their costs. (20)

The Gable Memorandum In Perspective

It was against this background that the Gable memorandum should be seen. The document itself is said by the original exposé to consist "almost entirely of libellous untruths about...the Official Secrets Defendants". (21) Regular readers of *Searchlight* of a far right persuasion will realise that this fits Gable to a tee. As well as disinformation, the magazine is full of errors, including outright howlers, which are symptomatic of idleness, sloppy research and contempt for its mainly *goy* readership more than of Secret State disinformation. Although the Gable memorandum is said to be one of a series, nothing further is known about the others, if they exist. The *New Statesman* said that Gable "enjoys considerable standing among other journalists, particularly because of his investigations of the National Front", which just goes to prove what saps other journalists are. Not only couldn't Gable investigate his way out of a wet paper bag, but any genuine information he gets on the Front et al obviously comes from either his Secret State buddies or directly from the organisations themselves.

This latter is not so difficult and doesn't even require the placing of "moles" in the far right. Gable's predecessor and fellow conspirator actually let the cat out of the bag less than a fortnight after Gable himself was exposed. In an interview with the *Guardian*, Maurice Ludmer - along with Gable, the co-founder of *Searchlight* - told Polly Toynbee that "A lot of our best information comes from different factions inside these organisations, warring with one another. They call and tell us what's going on." (22)

One further example will suffice. In 1986, the Griffin faction of the strife torn National Front published *Attempted Murder*, which is best described as a document written with bitterness and vituperation. In this, a leading member of the other faction, Steve Brady, is accused of leaking information to *Searchlight* relating to the locations of the National Front's admin office, while Ian Anderson is supposed to have been living very well whilst unemployed, ie on the Secret State's payroll. (23)

One need not speculate here on the veracity or otherwise of the claim concerning Anderson, or on the integrity of Steve Brady. But it is certainly not impossible that persons in the National Front or other far right organisations have leaked information to *Searchlight* for their own purposes. If the former claim *were* true, would that make Steve Brady a *Searchlight* agent?

How much of the information "gathered" by *Searchlight* like this is genuine remains to be seen, but, ironically, wild rumours appearing in Gable's hate sheet where they are trumpeted as established fact, are apt to lend them an air of credibility they would not have coming from some skinhead bootboy.

GERRY GABLE: SECRET STATE ASSET OR LIABILITY?

Returning to the *New Statesman*, the most telling admission here is that Gable was far from the only Secret State poodle in this affair, or in their words: "not the only person on the receiving end of vast quantities of MI5 flak". In other words, he was a far from important cog in a very big wheel. This is nothing new among so-called investigative journalists. The same *New Statesman* once wrote of the so-called dirty tricks expert Chapman Pincher: "...the columns of the *Express* may be seen as a kind of official urinal in which, side by side, high officials of MI5 and 6, Sea Lords, Permanent Under-Secretaries, Lord George-Brown, Chiefs of the Air Staff, nuclear scientists, Lord Wigg and others stand patiently leaking [secrets to] Mr Pincher [who is] too self-important and light-witted a fellow to realise how often he has been used." (24) The same can and indeed should be said of Gable. *Time Out* covered the smear on Kelly in its issue of February 22-28 1980. The article itself was written by none other than Duncan Campbell. Neither Gable nor his boss Barry Cox were able to comment on the memo, allegedly for legal reasons, which of course is bullshit. In the *Time Out* article, one journalist is quoted thus: "...Gable was being told all these tales about us yet he never once confronted us with them. Whose side was he on?" Not yours, obviously, you dumb *goy* bastard. Gerry doesn't give a fuck about the *goyim*, his loyalty, as far as he is loyal to anyone, is to Organised Jewry, (25) but that loyalty is tenuous to say the least since he exploits his co-racialists' paranoia for all it's worth and lies to them with the same impunity he lies to any *goy* "anti-fascist". Gable is motivated primarily by hatred of the *Aryan goyim*, not by love of his own race.

This then is the prosaic truth about the Gable memorandum, a "favour" for someone in the Secret State who will have scratched Gable's back at a later date, or perhaps already had. Maybe he gave Gable the home address of someone on the far right, or his work address, so that Gable and his fellow "anti-fascists", Jew and Gentile, could hound the poor sod out of his job. Who knows? But the "theory" that Gable was and is a Secret State "asset" based on the strength of an unprofessional smear job against a fellow (*goy*) journalist does not hold water. Or at the very least, we need far stronger evidence than this. One other thing, we don't know how much of the disinformation in this memo was fed to Gable and how much he invented himself. As the smears are so over the top, it is a fair bet that Gable made up most of them. After all, Kelly was sympathetic to the plight of the Palestinian people, which makes him an anti-Semite in Gable's book. And in the struggle against eternal anti-Semitism, anything goes.

The Sniper Article

O'Hara's source material for his exposés of Gable includes an article published in an anarchist magazine. (26) Both O'Hara and the current writer have corresponded with one of the co-authors. This article, which is based largely on the *New Statesman* article, is not without error, but adds some useful comment. For example, it claims that Gable was "linked" to the 62 Group; he was actually a member. (27) Another of the main planks of evidence for Gable as plausibly deniable Secret State asset is his 1964 conviction for burglary artifice at the home of David Irving. This is covered by the *Sniper* article, a fuller account appears in the *Islington Gazette* for January 17, 1964.

This story is well known. Basically it is that Gable and his co-racialist Manny Carpel turned up at Irving's home and bluffed their way in on the pretext of fixing his telephone. They said they would return later, but Irving became suspicious and contacted the police. Gable and Carpel duly returned with a third man, David Freedman, and all three were arrested. It seems likely that Carpel and Freedman were also members of the 62 Group. In court they claimed they were looking for material to hand over to Special Branch.

This episode has also been used to build up the Gable/Secret State mythology, but again, the methodology is seriously flawed. In the first instance, we have only the word of Gable and his equally venal co-racialists that they were in fact hoping to find such documentation. What exactly they were looking for is not made clear. If they had any genuine suspicions that Irving was in possession of documents illegally (or anything else), they could have telephoned the police. The *Sniper* article makes much of the fact that bail was put up for the three defendants by a company director, Mr Leslie Jacobs of Clapton. Leslie Jacobs was Jewish of course, and also a prominent member of the 62 Group. (28) Mystery solved.

What is the most probable explanation for this incident? The 62 Group was formed by a group of Jewish thugs, supposedly to counteract the menace of the resurgence of Nazism, in reality, Colin Jordan and sundry Hitler fans stomping around in swastikas and jackboots railing at how the Jews control Britain. It was actually in response to Jordan's July 1962 Trafalgar Square "Free Britain From Jewish Control" rally that the 62 Group was formed,

hence the name. The 62 Group was actually called the 1962 Committee, and was an off-shoot of the largely non-violent Yellow Star Movement. But, unlike the Yellow Star Movement, the 62 Group was not made up of ordinary decent Jewish men and women but the dregs of Jewry - hence Gable's membership. (29) They were shunned by the Jewish establishment, and in 1966 when eleven members and former members of Colin Jordan's National Socialist Movement stood trial for a series of synagogue arsons, they tried to fit up the British Führer by coercing or bribing one of the actual arsonists. (30) Organised Jewry, to their credit, wanted no part of it, and put as much distance between themselves and these scumbags as they could. The 62 Group had also tried to sell their services to the Board of Deputies, but found no takers. (31)

If Gable and co had found anything incriminating on Irving the very last thing they would have done was hand over such material to Special Branch. Most likely they would have used it to blackmail him into becoming a spy. (32) However, it is not unlikely that they would have used such information for their own benefit, and possibly even traded it with the Secret State. It remains a mystery why they believed Irving to be in possession of any illegal documents, if they did. Obviously Gable believes respectable historians (as Irving then was) operate the same way he does.

Another apparently very impressive piece of evidence that Gable is in the pay of the Secret State is the article on Islamic pseudo-historian and anti-Semite Ahmed Rami which O'Hara alluded to in a conference on the Security Services. (33) In its June 1993 issue, *Searchlight* ran a feature called *The missing honour of Ahmed Rami*. This article published what purported to be "The secret transcript of Rami's interview by the security police when he first entered Sweden in 1973." Again, we have no way of knowing how this fell into Gable's hands; it may have come via Organised Jewry, but although they will use Gable as a smear merchant, Jewish "self-defence" organisations realise what a scumbag he is, and certainly wouldn't trust him with anything sensitive. The Board of Deputies bookshop doesn't even stock his magazine. The most likely explanation is that Gable did indeed obtain this as a quid pro quo from one of his buddies in MI6 or elsewhere, but again, what does this prove?

Issue 126 of *National Front News* published Gable's home address; two National Front officials doorstepped him. The Front put this piece of intelligence down to a two year operation by their grandly titled "Security and Intelligence Department". This is bullshit. In reality, Gable's address was leaked to the Front by the Secret State - his buddies, remember. Gable himself made this claim to the *Guardian*. (34) Of course, one must never take an arch-liar like Gable at his word, but the current writer has had this confirmed by someone who, unlike Gable, is capable of distinguishing between fantasy and fact. Derbyshire-based accountant Morris Riley, who had previously done some "undercover work" for Gable, (35) told the current writer that SIS had leaked Gable's address to the Front because he had badmouthed MI6 in *Searchlight*. Secret State asset? The hell he is.

This clearly goes against the grain of O'Hara's theory. If the servants of the Secret State can leak information to the National Front without working hand in glove with that organisation, then they can leak information - including disinformation - to a hate-filled little Jew now and again to allow him to do their dirty work for them.

Another piece of evidence against O'Hara is the Column 88 "Nazi underground" hoax. I have documented this in my pamphlet *In Serving The Wicked Expect No Reward*, but I will outline it briefly here. In 1975, *Searchlight's* first "mole" Dave Roberts infiltrated the National Front in Birmingham. In July he was arrested together with two members of the local lunatic "Nazi" fringe and was eventually convicted of conspiracy to assault the staff of an Indian restaurant; his two fellow conspirators were gaoled, but Roberts himself escaped with a suspended sentence, which he later served for assaulting National Front members. Part of the reason Roberts escaped so lightly was his "revelations" about a group called Column 88. Roberts and the then editor of *Searchlight*, Maurice Ludmer, conned a gullible *Telegraph* journalist, the late Peter Gladstone Smith, and others, into believing that Column 88 was a massive underground Nazi conspiracy. It turned out to be a bunch of pillocks celebrating Hitler's birthday.

The Column 88 scam was thoroughly investigated by West Midlands Special Branch; the 12-3 hours of covert tape recordings and documents Roberts turned over to the authorities came to nothing. Column 88 was described by the Minister of State for Defence as "a small drinking club of neo-Nazi nut-cases." (36) This fact alone is enough to demonstrate that *Searchlight* - then principally under the control of Maurice Ludmer - was not the sweetheart of Special Branch but was actually doing its best to lead the secret police up the garden path. The *Sniper* article claims that Dave Roberts passed information to *Searchlight* concerning the activities of Peter Marriner, who was said to have been a Special Branch asset. *Searchlight* promptly ignored this.

Marriner was a bizarre character even in the bizarre world of the extreme right. He was in both the British Movement and the National Front as well as Column 88, and later turned up as election agent for the Labour MP Brian Walden. He was also a homosexual. The September 1983 issue of *Spearhead* revealed that on September 6, 1971, Marriner was jailed for twelve months for stealing money from two homosexuals while engaging in

GERRY GABLE: SECRET STATE ASSET OR LIABILITY?

indecent acts with them in public lavatories. Not for the first time, either. And according to *Sniper*: "Marriner told the Left he was infiltrating the Right. He told the Right he was infiltrating the Left. If he was also in contact with Special Branch, just who was infiltrating who?"

Marriner's bizarre sexual practices and his interest in extremist politics - left as well as right - would have made him a prime target for Secret State manipulation, but even if he was a Special Branch "asset" and that fact was known to, or suspected by, *Searchlight*, that hardly makes them Special Branch assets too. There may have been other reasons for their turning a blind eye to his activities besides their being in bed with the secret police. They may simply have been told to mind their own business.

When I pointed this out to O'Hara he started making noises about the Gable memorandum being issued about this time - actually it was later - and hinted that Marriner and even Column 88 were part of an MI5 scam. (37) Gable himself had already said as much, but rather than falling for Gable's claptrap (lies), O'Hara has most probably invented some of his own. In the April 1993 issue of *Searchlight*, the so-called Combat 18 exposé issue, Gable says that Column 88 was "a honey-trap organisation controlled by British intelligence". Bollocks. It was a bunch of pillocks playing follow the Führer, and nothing more. (38)

O'Hara is now in the unenviable position of placing Gable as "working" for M.I.5. instead of simply trading (dis)information with them and doing the odd dirty job for them now and again. However, this is refuted by Gable himself, who in 1986 did his best to make M.I.5. look foolish, in the Brian Gentleman affair.

In April 1986, Channel 4 broadcast a *20/20 Vision* programme in which a gullible junior civil servant named Brian Gentleman was set up as a Czech spy, more specifically he was said to have passed top secret information to Colonel Miroslav Merhaut, a Czech military attache. The basis for this claim was that Gentleman, who had no access to classified information, had been seen drinking with Merhaut a couple of times, the latter having made no secret of his job, although he called himself "Mick". Gable, together with a Scottish crook named Donaldson, set up Gentleman; Gable wined and dined him and eventually wormed a confession out of him. (39)

The day after the programme, Special Branch - Gable's employers, remember - spent eight hours questioning Gentleman, extracted a 75 page signed confession from him, then threatened to charge him with signing perjured affidavits and wasting police time. They also grilled the Channel 4 team. According to the *New Statesman*, M.I.5. intervened in this case to cover up the supposed incompetence of the police, so this time Gable is attacking both of his alleged employers, making one of them look stupid and leading the other up the garden path. (40) If Gable is an M.I.5. agent, the SIS must be playing an extremely sophisticated game. If O'Hara is to defend his hypothesis, he is now placed in the even more unenviable position of claiming this was part of M.I.5's disinformation strategy, ie that the whole thing was a put-up job to persuade the extreme left that Gable is not in fact working for M.I.5. Obviously this is nonsense of the first order. When the facts conflict with the theory, every good scientist throws out the theory, or modifies it. O'Hara though is not a scientist but a Marxist, so, like every good Marxist, when the facts conflict with the dialectic, the class struggle, or any other aspect of their perverted ideology, it is the facts that are discarded, or in this case, ignored.

The Background To Organised Anti-Semitism In Britain: Zionist Fiction Versus Documented Historical Fact

The *raison d'être* of the Searchlight Organisation can be summed up in one simple, well-worn phrase: racial hatred. In order for the reader to properly understand the true nature of the Searchlight Organisation, we must discuss the background and tradition against which it evolved. There exist throughout the world anti-black, anti-Jewish and anti-other organisations which preach and in some cases practice racial hatred in varying degrees. There is for example the Ku Klux Klan. Although the Klan arose originally as a patriotic organisation whose purpose was to free the Southern States from the carpet-baggers and other elements of Northern despotism practised against the South after the American Civil War, there can be no doubt whatsoever that the various klans have over the course of many decades practised inhuman cruelty against the Negro. According to the Tuskegee Institute, 3,426 Negroes were lynched in the United States between 1882 and 1947. (41) White men were also lynched, primarily for rape, and it is undoubtedly true that, due process of law notwithstanding, a few of these 3,426 Negroes deserved to be lynched. But it is safe to say that not one of them was lynched out of love for his race.

The point of this is that we can all recognise such racial hatred, barring a few bigots. Racial hatred also exists in Northern Ireland, although there it is more of a cultural/political/religious phenomenon. As I write these words the Central African state of Rwanda has erupted into perhaps the bloodiest civil war even that continent has ever seen. After a few weeks fighting there have been reports of up to half a million dead. This civil war, if it can be called that, is clearly tribal, the minority Tutsi tribe is being systematically wiped out. According to the reports of all Western journalists, who have no apparent reason to be biased.

Although there are those who contest the existence of an alleged genocide programme and gas chambers - with good reason, it might be added - only a fool or a bigot would deny the fanatical racial hatred that existed in Nazi Germany. Most of this hatred emanated not from the Nazi government but from freelance hatemongers, most notably Julius Streicher, publisher of the intensely anti-Semitic, quasi-pornographic *Der Stürmer*. Anti-Jewish hatred also existed in contemporary Poland. Indeed, a reading of the *Jewish Chronicle* for the early Nazi era leads one to believe both that Streicher was hated more than Hitler by German Jews and that British Jews were more concerned about the situation in Poland than in Germany.

I could go on but it shouldn't be necessary: racial hatred exists, and it is propagated. Contrary to socialist dogma, whites do not have a monopoly on racial hatred, and racial hatred can be white on white, black on black, Gentile on Jew. It can also be Jew on Gentile, but this subject is taboo. You can search through any standard text book on anti-Semitism, anti-Semitic ideology or Jew-Gentile relations, and you will find not a whisper that the Jew is ever even partly to blame. If there is a pogrom, then it's always the fault of the wicked *goyim*, as it usually is, of course. But what if the pogrom is by Jews or organised by Jews against Gentiles, in particular against race-conscious *Aryans*?

The first person to organise the distribution of anti-Semitism in Britain was Captain Henry Hamilton Beamish (1874-1948). In particular, Beamish founded the "Britons", a publishing society and political movement which preached a mystical anti-Semitism such as is taboo in polite society anywhere in the world today. (42) The Britons eventually published over eighty editions of the notorious *Protocols of Zion*, but even though in its early days it had a number of otherwise respectable supporters, (43) it was never anything but an extremely marginal bunch of cranky, Jew-obsessed fanatics. Other organisations have preached anti-Semitism too, but they have all been more of the same, whatever ideological differences they may have had on other issues. No anti-Semitic propagandist or hatemonger has ever been able to incite a pogrom in Britain, or to come anywhere near inciting one. Even at the height of the Jewish menace scare when the *Protocols* was published by the respectable publishing house of Eyre and Spottiswoode, anti-Semitism in Britain has always been of the drawing room kind. Pogroms are neither in the British tradition nor in the Briton's nature.

The early twenties and thirties saw the rise of Italian fascism and parallel movements elsewhere, including Germany of course, and Britain. From the early 1930s, the largely non-anti-Semitic fascist movement in this

country was subjected to a barrage of hatred by communist organisations; racial Jews played a large part in these movements. I say "racial" Jews rather than Jews because although these people may have been Jewish in an *halachic* sense - ie they had Jewish mothers - they were not Jews in any meaningful sense of the word. A whole anti-Semitic ideology has been constructed around Leon Trotsky and a few other racial Jews because of their involvement in the "Russian" Revolution and the promotion of international communism, but again, Trotsky was not Jewish in any meaningful sense of the word, and indeed on his death was denounced to the synagogue by his father as an enemy of Judaism and the curse of humanity. (44)

Likewise, the razor-wielding Yiddish thugs who set about Oswald Mosley's Blackshirts were not the pious Jews of Stamford Hill's insular, Ultra-Orthodox, who pray three times a day and live every moment of their lives according to the dictates of the *Torah*, rather they were what has rightly been described as the sweepings of the ghetto. These Jewish-born "kosher fascists" together with their *goy* fellow travellers did their best to terrorise the Mosley fascists. And although initially the BUF had a number of Jewish members, after two years of this harassment Mosley announced that he would no longer admit Jews to the BUF, (45) and indeed, *The Blackshirt* (the BUF's newspaper) took an increasingly anti-Semitic line. In 1933, *The Blackshirt* published an article which quoted from the *Jewish World* newspaper warning that Jews who attacked the BUF were "wicked and stupid and [such behaviour] is condemned outright, as *The Blackshirt* truly says, by all decent men of our faith." (46) Three years later, the paper was running a regular column called *JOLLY JUDAH*. In December 1936, this reported an "unusual Jewish crime", two Jewish women had been fined a pound each for stealing soap. (Ie dirty Jews). (47) Obviously, such gutter anti-Semitism did little to win popular support, but although it should be unequivocally condemned, one should never lose sight of the fact that British fascism was not inherently anti-Semitic, (48) and that as far as the BUF was concerned, hatred by "the Jews" came before hatred of the Jews.

Jewish apologists, including Mosley himself, have claimed that this "misunderstanding" arose because British Jews confused German anti-Semitic "fascism" (Nazism) with the Britain first kind. The truth though is that there was no excuse whatsoever for this because Jews had played a prominent part in the rise of the Italian fascist movement. (49)

The rise of Hitler prompted a worldwide Jewish boycott of Nazi Germany, which was organised by the American Jewish lawyer Samuel Untermyer. Instead of appeasing Hitler, Organised Jewry set out to crush him, and they were aided by many powerful vested interests on both sides of the Atlantic. Where they might have appealed to Mosley to intervene in Hitler's nascent anti-Semitic campaign, they tarred him with the same brush. When World War Two finally came, British fascists were thrown into concentration camps under the notorious 18b legislation, without trial or even charge. This, even though they were patriotic virtually to a man. (50) People who condone this have no right to condemn the Nazi incarceration of the Jews, who had been declared belligerents by Chaim Weizmann, the recognised leader of world Zionism, at the start of the war. (51)

Over fifty million people died in the Second World War, the overwhelming majority of them white Gentiles. This was the bloodiest, most terrible war in history. One would have thought, or at least hoped, that a spirit of reconciliation would have ensued afterwards, but nearly fifty years on, Organised Jewry and other powerful vested interests are still fighting the Second World War, and the so-called *Holocaust* has, for many, become the central issue.

The war was fought, ostensibly, to free Europe and save Britain from the jackboot. However, as soon as it was over, the rules were quietly changed. Poland was yielded to communism, along with the rest of Eastern Europe, and the people of Britain and elsewhere were told that opposition to foreign invasions was now that most deadly of social diseases, *racism*. "Jewish" and communist organisations exploited this too, they also exploited the *Holocaust* mercilessly. In 1946, Sir Oswald Mosley and others who had tried to prevent this terrible brothers war began to regroup and reorganise. And again they were attacked by Jewish and communist thugs, including a new bunch of (mostly Jewish) gangsters who called themselves the 43 Group.

In 1992, a former member of the 43 Group, Morris Beckman, published a book on the organisation. It is full of errors and highly imaginative in places, but its remarkable candour gives an insight into the true nature of militant "anti-fascism" and sheds further light on why Jews are really hated as opposed to why their leaders and "anti-fascists" claim they are.

Early in the book, Beckman says that many fascists were conscripted into the armed forces, but that they remained a potential fifth column. (52) This arch libel comes from a man whose co-racialists set about murdering British policemen and servicemen in Palestine at the very moment that these same Britons were saving other Jews from Hitler's "gas chambers". Fascists trying to hold public meetings were attacked with the same kind of mindless violence they are met with today. "By early summer 1946, between six and ten fascist meetings per week were being attacked by the Group", (page 30). This is a proud boast as is "...a team of hard men would jump from taxis and

demand the literature [from the fascist paper sellers]...Those who refused [to hand it over] were beaten up..." On page 151, Beckman boasts how the fascist leader Jeffrey Hamm was viciously assaulted with an offensive weapon: "Hamm stared down contemptuously at the struggling mob below and gave the fascist salute, a ploy always calculated to goad opponents. He succeeded only too well - a piece of brick hit him on the side of the head and he fell on top of the van, unconscious."

Hamm was also hospitalised in Brighton with a fractured jaw, (page 125), while "In October 1947 the Group was attacking an average of fifteen outdoor meetings every week...causing more than half to close down prematurely", (page 88). Throughout the book, Beckman speaks ill of an organisation called the Jewish Defence Committee which had no time for thuggery and sought to oppose fascism by democratic means.

Violence and "anti-fascist" intimidation and thuggery went on through the 1950s, and in 1962 a new anti-British race-hate organisation emerged, this one exclusively Jewish. In July, Colin Jordan held a rally in London's Trafalgar Square, the theme of which was "Free Britain From Jewish Control". Although details are vague, it seems that the 62 Group was founded as a result of that meeting. One of the people actively involved in the 62 Group was Gerry Gable.

Again, the 62 Group was not interested in showing fascists the error of their ways but in naked terror. In 1965, American researcher George Thayer wrote: "The primary aim of the 62 Group, indeed its only aim of any importance, is physically to crush the Fascists in Britain...Every member of the Group, whether he be one of its leaders or one of the rank-and-file Jewish toughs, lives for the day when he can personally crack the skull of a neo-Nazi or Fascist. They do not believe simply in striking back in self-defence; in most cases, when their blood is up they go looking for a fight...on one occasion they ransacked the home of a writer who was doing some research on atrocities in war-time Germany..." (53)

The reference to ransacking the home of a writer is an obvious allusion to Gable's unsuccessful burglary artifice at the home of David Irving, and a slight exaggeration. The Searchlight Association or Searchlight Associates was formed at about the same time. Again, details are vague, but it seems likely to have been founded no later than 1964. In 1965 it published the first of its *Searchlight* broadsheets. Which brings us to where we are now.

O'Hara's Flawed Methodology And The Real Explanation For "Searchlight's" Subversive Behaviour

Why do I say that the obvious hatred of Gable and his co-racialists in the "anti-fascist" movement is racial hatred? Because it isn't just fascists who are attacked, rather anyone - white or otherwise - who opposes non-white immigration and forced race-mixing. Forced race-mixing leads, inevitably, to intermarriage and miscegenation. And miscegenation, in particular the death of the hated *Aryan goyim*, is what Gable and his friends, including many non-Jews (whites as well) want to bring about, although of course they never articulate it as such.

One should not confuse this hatred of the wicked *Aryans* with Jewish supremacy, the anti-Semitic Chosen Race concept or anything of that nature. Hatred of another race, or of other races, is a far cry from love of or pride in one's own. O'Hara once told the current writer of Gable that: "I don't think he gives a damn about the Jewish community." (54) He was spot on here. I will go further than this and state that in my humble opinion, not only does Gable not give a fuck about the Jews, but, as he has done more to incite hatred against them than anyone on the anti-Semitic far right, it is very likely that he hates his own white skin *and* his own ethnic origins every bit as much as he hates the wicked *Aryan goyim*. One must judge people by what they do, not by what they profess to believe.

Gable's hatred of the *Aryan goyim* probably stems from an incident which happened when he was ten years old. He has doubtless recounted this incident many times. (55) *If it happened*, it went something like this. A ten year old Gerry Gable was approached by a Mosleyite schoolteacher at Easter who said to him: "You're a Jew, aren't you Gable?" The teacher then told the class that the Jews had killed Jesus, and Gerry had to run home under a hail of stones. Maybe as a result of this he hates all Gentiles, certainly it would be difficult to find any human being more eaten up with hatred, but I am not a psychologist, and even if I were, he is the last person I would

want to put on the couch. (56) Now, let us examine some of Gable's actions in the light of this unconditional racial hatred, and the rationalisations O'Hara makes for them.

In the June 1992 issue of *Searchlight*, the Ray Hill column refers to a group of Black Separatists as "a Gucci-outfitted bunch of middle-class wankers". (They were alleged to have made anti-Semitic remarks at a *Searchlight* meeting). According to O'Hara, this is evidence of Hill's *racism*. On pages 13-4 of *A Lie Too Far*, O'Hara says that "as well as being a thief, a liar and a thug, [Hill is] quite possibly still as racist as he always was." All good and fine, Hill is a black-hater, an Asian-hater, and most certainly a Jew-hater, and probably always will be. But it wasn't him who referred to Black Separatists as "a Gucci-outfitted bunch of middle-class wankers", it was Gable, and O'Hara has no excuse for this, because it was he who first pointed out the (in retrospect obvious) fact that Hill's column is written not by Hill but by the arch-*Aryan* hater himself. (57)

Why does "anti-racist" Gable attack blacks? He doesn't; he attacks Black Separatists. And he does so for the simple reason that Black Separatists aren't interested in screwing white women and therefore must be seen as an enemy. In *Mein Kampf*, Hitler writes "the contamination caused by the influx of negroid blood on the Rhine, in the very heart of Europe, is in accord with the sadist and perverse lust for vengeance on the part of the hereditary enemy of our people." No prizes for guessing who this hereditary enemy was. The Führer accuses the Jews of using race-mixing in order to bastardise and thereby destroy the hated *Aryans*. Just like Gable is doing. (58)

The usual explanation here is that Hitler was simply engaging in his well-documented, anti-Semitic ravings. (59) No attempt is made to examine the veracity of the claim. In fact, Hitler, like many others since, was simply commenting on the perceived and now thoroughly documented fact that race-mixing has been promoted fanatically by racial Jews. (60) However, Hitler, like most other anti-Semites, makes two big mistakes: he assumes that all Jews do this; and he believes it to be part of a conspiracy. The reality is that not all Jews are engaged in the promotion of race-mixing any more than all *Aryans* are engaged in the promotion of anti-Semitism or Ku Klux Klan and related activities. (61) And, rather than being any sort of conspiracy, the activities of Gable, his predecessors, and his contemporaries - Jew and Gentile - in promoting and in many cases forcing race-mixing, is simply organised, fanatical, racial hatred. It is not a conspiracy because a) it is not illegal, and b) it is not a secret. If anything it is blatant. Gable's clarion cry might well be "Death to the *Aryan goyim*". (62) This also explains the exploitation of the *Holocaust* by the "anti-racist" left. Obviously, the Zionist movement has played the alleged genocide of the Jews for all it's worth, but hard core Zionists make up only a minority of "anti-racists".

If, now, one studies *Searchlight's* subversive activities in the light of this, everything both the magazine and the organisation do becomes crystal clear. Fascists, *racists* and their fellow travellers are to be denied any platform so as to be unable to state their case. Preventing the spread of racial hatred has nothing at all to do with it. Least of all the prevention of anti-Semitism. Fascists, *racists* and their fellow travellers - ie anyone who is race-conscious or who might become race-conscious - must be, to all intents and purposes, banned. *Searchlight's* mischievous *Aryan*-hating Jewish "anti-fascists" and *goy* fellow travellers would like to see the state quash them completely. The idea that the state, any state, can ban fascists, is of course ludicrous. Any state which does ban any such group would by any reasonable definition be a fascist state itself.

All the same, Gable and company realise that if any vestige of democracy is to remain and to be seen to remain in Britain, no far right group can be banned. But they can be steadily disenfranchised, and perhaps driven to desperate measures. Ideally, they would resort to terrorism. Now it is true that there have been attempts by certain far right groups and individuals to organise on a paramilitary basis over the years. The most notorious was the 1962 "Spearhead" fiasco in which Colin Jordan and John Tyndall were jailed. But this was basically just a bunch of overgrown boy scouts, as JT is to this very day except that he has graduated to troupe leader. Over the years, *Searchlight* has attempted to build this into a massive conspiracy, but the reality is that Jordan, Tyndall et al were never perceived by the authorities as a threat to public order much less to public safety. Jordan received the stiffest sentence of the lot - nine months - and all the defendants were summoned rather than arrested and incarcerated in a maximum security prison. (63)

The rest of the far right's preparations for the ever-imminent race war consists of similar boy scout activities by organised groups and individual acts by the gun-obsessed. Many people connected with far right groups belong to gun clubs or have a thing about guns and the military. But so what? There has been some low level organised activity in the United States, in particular there was the Order, which was allegedly based on fanatical race-hater William Pierce's genocidal novel *The Turner Diaries*. (64) But this has more to do with United States gun culture than with any real "Nazi" threat. The murder rate in the United States is five times higher than in Britain. With regard to murders of blacks, far, far more blacks are murdered by other blacks than by whites, and most of the white on black and black on white murders that do take place are not necessarily racially motivated.

Whatever the situation in Britain, and however much they make whine and wail and gnash their teeth, no far right organisation in Britain has ever come anywhere near to mounting a race war or even a local campaign. Gable and his fellow hatemongers realise this, hence *Searchlight's* never-ending series of *exposés* of far right groups involved in terrorist or quasi-terrorist activity. Not content with inventing lies about the far right, and doing their best to incite them to violence by denying such groups their democratic rights, Gable and co have also directly incited them to violence by means of *agents provocateurs*, in particular Dave Roberts, Ray Hill and Tim Hepple. Undoubtedly there have been and continue to be others.

This was what the Column 88 Nazi underground hoax was all about. And the 1981 Leicester gun-running conspiracy, the 1981 mythical Notting Hill Carnival bomb plot, the safe-housing conspiracy, and, lately, the Combat 18 myth. O'Hara would have us believe that Gable is being used by Special Branch, M.I.5. or both as part of their empire building strategies, as if the IRA didn't give them more than enough work. The reality is that this is part of Gable's strategy of portraying Britain's "Nazis" as baby-eaters, in the well-worn tradition of (largely Jewish) Hollywood. If these groups can be incited to violence, and banned, and if non-white immigration into Britain, and every other predominantly white country, can be increased, and miscegenation, and if more and more Draconian "race relations" laws can be forced onto the statute book - by hook or by crook - then the hated *Aryans* will eventually be destroyed, if not in this generation, then certainly within the space of a century or two.

It is ironic that the fraudulent *Rabbi's Speech* sums up Gable's *raison d'être* poetically. (65) The anti-Semitic international continues to blame this "conspiracy" on all Jews. In reality, there is, as stated, no conspiracy, only organised racial hatred by a number of Jewish organisations. These organisations are secular, and are controlled largely by persons who are *halachically* Jewish - eg Gable himself - but are in reality no more Jewish than the average native-born white Briton is Christian.

Anti-Defamation League/Searchlight Parallels: More Of O'Hara's Nonsense

In order to bolster his *Searchlight* = Secret State nonsense, O'Hara drags in the case of the Anti-Defamation League. In 1993, the ADL was embroiled in a spy scandal. In *At War With The Truth* (page 19), O'Hara publishes a partial list of some of the organisations found in ADL files. O'Hara maintains that the ADL carried out spying for the FBI on a massive scale. Obviously he doesn't realise what the ADL is, so a little history lesson is in order.

The Anti-Defamation League was founded at Chicago in 1913 under the auspices of B'nai B'rith. (67) (B'nai B'rith - literally "Sons of the Covenant" - is an older, fraternal organisation). This was before the concept of Zionism really caught on. It was also at a time when literature concerning the supposedly all-pervasive international Jewish conspiracy was given both a credibility and a respectability that it rightly does not enjoy today. (68) A mere six years after the ADL's founding, the *Protocols of Zion* scare blew up in Germany, and the following year, (1920), the first American edition was published, edited by the former Tsarist (and later Nazi) agent Boris Brasol. (69)

However, the ADL would later nail its mast to international Zionism, and since the inception of the Zionist state, it has been virtually an unregistered agent of the Israeli government. It has also long since abandoned its original role of monitoring and combating anti-Semitic propaganda and has become one of the nastiest smear organisations on the face of this planet. Like its ugly cousin, the quasi-fascistic Board of Deputies of "British" Jews, (in particular the "defence committee"), it brands anyone it doesn't like anti-Semitic, and has become virtually the hidden hand of anti-Semitic folklore, at least as far as the American media is concerned. The ADL maintains files on a plethora of organisations, anti-Jewish, anti-Zionist, political and non-political. Unlike *Searchlight*, which operates out of a London office with ad hoc agents here and there, the ADL has offices the length and breadth of the United States and a budget of \$31 million a year. (70)

But, rather than being an FBI agent, the ADL maintains (or maintained) an army of spies throughout the United States funded by the paranoia of American Jewry to the tune of thirty-one million tax free bucks. Undoubtedly it has traded information with the FBI, and others, but the allegations against it were primarily that it had corrupted law enforcement officials who had been paid off with free trips to Israel and the like. (71) The parallels with *Searchlight* are real, but only in the sense that they will both use paid informants and *agents provocateurs* and smear as anti-Semitic anyone who doesn't kiss the arse of Imperial Zion. *Or who opposes miscegenation.* (Larry O'Hara doesn't fall into either category). There is though another parallel. It is common knowledge that in this country - and unquestionably others - private security services often develop contacts with serving police officers, and that many of their senior staff are ex-police officers. Thus, information flows from the police to the private sector; the ADL has simply developed similar contacts with both the CIA and the FBI. Needless to say, such "old boy networks" operate entirely unofficially, and often, as in the case of the ADL, blatantly illegally as well.

Gerry Gable, Secret State Errand Boy:

The How And Why

Gable and others of his ilk undoubtedly do trade information with the Secret State, this is a proven, if not an admitted, fact. They also receive payoffs from time to time and do the dirty work for various agencies of government. But not because they are Secret State assets or agents, and least of all because they are patriots. They are used for one reason and one reason alone. Because they are Jews. More specifically, because they are "racial" Jews; in anti-Semitic parlance, rootless Cosmopolitans, whose only loyalty is to their own race, or more specifically to Organised Jewry, the Zionist entity, or to money. And in the case of the impeccably un-Jewish (and anti-Jewish) Ray Hill, only to money.

Gable and company try desperately to portray themselves as dedicated Jewish anti-fascists. In reality, if Gable were any sort of anti-fascist he would never associate with a man like Ray Hill, which indicates that his motives may be more mercenary than anything else.

When one examines subversive activity worldwide and over the course of the past seventy or eighty years, one finds an extremely disproportionate number of racial Jews involved in sabotage, espionage, and, most of all, communism. It beggars belief to even suggest that they are so thick on the ground by mere chance. And, of course, they are not, rather they are recruited as scapegoats. In the Middle Ages, kings appointed Jews as tax collectors; even the Nazis used Jewish scapegoats, particularly in Rumania during the Second World War. (72) Gable then is a freelance hater who sells his services to the Secret State or whoever wants somebody smeared. If the price is right, then Hans Eysenck, Chris Tame, Neil Hamilton or whoever, will be smeared as a fascist, *racist* or fellow traveller. (73) Such smears don't have to be well executed, and *Searchlight's* smears, like its research, are appalling. They work because mud often sticks, however lunatic the allegations which may be made against someone for whatever ulterior motive. The claim by a defence witness at the trial of Frank Beck that Greville Janner MP had buggedgered him, say. (On second thoughts, perhaps that's not a very good example). (74)

The Jewish scapegoat has another advantage besides allowing someone else to take the rap. It often results in charges of conspiracy. As soon as the words Jew and conspiracy appear in the same sentence, the accuser can be consigned to the realm of the conspiracy cranks, or written of as an anti-Semite, usually both. This tactic has been used successfully against Mosley, (75) the National Front, and indeed white nationalist, anti-communist and anti-miscegenation groups worldwide for the past sixty years.

There is though, another very good reason for the Secret State to employ Gable himself as an occasional errand boy and disinformation outlet. And this has less to do with Gable's ethnic origins and rather more to do with the man himself. In short, Gable is the Chapman Pincher of anti-fascist journalism.

Gable: Plausibly Deniable, Vain And Stupid

We have already met Chapman Pincher; the late James Rusbridger had some particular unflattering - but true - things to say about this "dirty tricks" expert. And they apply equally to Gerry Gable. For the benefit of the uninitiated, Chapman Pincher was for many years the defence correspondent of the *Daily Express*.

He is also the author of a number of books which, allegedly, expose the machinations of the security services. Doubtless, Pincher believed the dross he wrote, doubtless Gable believes much of the dross he writes about the mythical international Nazi conspiracy, and that he is some kind of expert on this subject.

James Rusbridger writes of Pincher that he "had little investigative ability of his own and seldom did any research...", (76) that he was in fact "the doyen of secondhand journalism in the field of intelligence". (77) As is Gable in anti-fascism. Pincher was used "to propagate inaccurate gossip provided by disaffected officials or to plant stories on behalf of government departments that would be too ridiculous to print anywhere than in the *Express* newspapers." (78) For Pincher read Gable; for *Express* newspapers, read *Searchlight*. Pincher was vain enough to believe he was being given all these secret stories because unlike other journalists he was a patriot and could be trusted to protect the national interest. (79) Gable has no such beliefs, of course, but he does believe that he is *the* expert, and that he is respected by spooks and their masters in high places. In reality, they recognise him

for exactly what he is, a hate-filled little Jew who can be trusted to smear anyone to the right of Neil Kinnock as a fascist, *racist*, Nazi or anti-Semite simply because he is, in his own words, at war with society. A society he holds responsible for the charge of deicide against his race, and for "exterminating" six million of his kind in the Nazi *gas chambers*.

The State, The Fascist Menace, And The "Anti-Fascist" Left

It is part of O'Hara's thesis that *Searchlight* has infiltrated the anti-fascist left on behalf of M.I.5. or whoever. Again, I do not dispute the claim that Gable and co have indeed smeared a number of individuals and organisations on the extreme left, but I do question the reasons why. First though, a word about the fascist menace.

Although there are such things as racially motivated attacks, and although even the British National Party may have sympathisers in high places, the state knows that there is no fascist menace in Britain, and that there never has been, certainly not since the outbreak of World War Two when fascists and fellow travellers were interned under the notorious 18b legislation, ostensibly because they were considered a probable fifth column, in reality because they wanted to stop the bloody, unnecessary, fratricidal war which cost over fifty million lives and led to both the break up of the British Empire and the subjugation of Eastern Europe by communism.

The British National Party's policy on race can be summed up in the phrase "end immigration, start repatriation". Whatever the public's views on repatriation, it is clear that the overwhelming majority of the population has always been opposed to large scale immigration of unassimilable immigrants. This is totally in line with the BNP's policy, and *racism* has nothing to do with it, but evidently our masters have always had different things in mind. It is the imposition of several large unassimilable minorities on Britain (and other white nations) which causes all the trouble. That and the lunatic "anti-*racist*" policies of certain left wing councils. This is the extent of the fascist menace, and the extent of the BNP's support. Over the past thirty and more years it has been very simple for all organised opposition to be shut up and suppressed by invoking images of Jews in Nazi Germany being shovelled into the "gas chambers" and to project this imagery onto other minorities. In short, the BNP et al have been successfully contained, albeit dishonestly. However much they may rail, or more often wail and whine, they are going nowhere.

The organised left though is a different kettle of fish entirely. In spite of their protestations of anti-capitalism the left is extremely well-organised *and* extremely wealthy. It has certainly in the past had no trouble laying its hands on government and local government money to put on anti-Nazi carnivals and the like. Its influence in the trades unions needs no elaboration here.

Whatever violent tendencies the far right may have, the far left is far more violent, and, as this violence is both far better organised, and directed towards the authorities rather than towards immigrants, it gives the powers that be far more cause for concern. Two recent incidents were the miners' strike and the poll tax riots.

Searchlight, using its cover as the organisation researching fascism, has built up considerable information on the "anti-*racist*" and "anti-fascist" left. This includes mailing lists, intelligence of various kinds, and its usual sloppy research. This is useful to the Secret State, while the Secret State has information which can be useful to Gable's crusade against fascism. In reality, against race-conscious *Aryan goyim*. They trade. No secret.

But O'Hara has made specific charges against *Searchlight*, in particular that it has smeared anarchists - as it has - and that its agent, Tim Hepple, attempted to pass off a fake mailing list on anarchist Tim Scargill and *Green Anarchist* magazine in order to set this bunch of violent anti-fascists up for attack by fascists, and vice versa. Yes. And so what? In the first place, Tim Scargill was, by his own admission, a member of the National Front. He joined the NF at the tender age of sixteen under the name Tim Wright, (his mother's maiden name). This was from 1978-9. Although the National Front was *never* a Nazi or fascist party - media disinformation and *Searchlight's* nonsense aside (80) - this doesn't matter to Gable. Anyone who was ever a member of any far right or racial-nationalist organisation is a Nazi or fellow traveller to him. Unless they now work for him, of course, (81) in which case they are sincere, reformed fascists or "moles".

Thus, the smears against Tim Scargill and *Green Anarchist* conform exactly to Gable's and *Searchlight's* agenda: set the wicked *Aryan goyim* at each others' throats, let them kick shit out of one another, and use this to campaign for more and more repressive laws against "fascism" and *racism*. It should be noted that, whatever the outcome,

the far right are always on a hiding to nothing. When they attack blacks, Asians or "reds", as they sometimes do - often in the case of the latter - they are fascist scum, bootboys, the nascent Nazi menace. If on the other hand they get a good kicking, as they more often do, then the victorious forces of the workers' revolution have saved the blacks, the Asians, the Jews and who knows who else from the gas chambers yet again. If on the other hand the NF, BNP or some other far right group is prevented from holding a meeting, then this again is seen as a triumph for the forces of reason, peace, tolerance, anti-fascism, etc ad nauseum.

It is not necessary to postulate the involvement of M.I.5. and certainly not of Special Branch - both of whom Gable has led up the garden path a number of times over the years. (82) This is in effect a conspiracy theory, and while it is a lot more plausible than that of *Protocols Of Zion* fame, it is superfluous. Gable is an evil little bastard in his own right; he doesn't need anyone to manipulate him, either the ethereal Elders of Zion or the terrestrial Mrs Rimington.

Combat 18

The latest attempt of arch-*Aryan*-hater Gable to perpetuate the myth of *Aryan* evil is the quasi-mythical Combat 18. Although this group does exist, it is basically a bunch of right wing football thugs who have decided to fight fire with fire. Combat 18 is currently being built up into a massive neo-Nazi conspiracy. The fact that at least one of the people allegedly involved - South London dustman Eddie Whicker - is an Ulster "Loyalist", has allowed the terror connection to be thrown into the pot. It may or may not be true that Gable is working to someone else's agenda as well as his own, but that is beside the point. Gable has heaped vitriol on one alleged member of Combat 18 in particular, convicted drug dealer and many times convicted football hooligan, Charlie Sargent.

Sargent has not only suffered abuse, but his flat has been firebombed. (83) It is difficult to credit M.I.5. or Special Branch with any motive for stitching up Sargent, who like Whicker and most if not all of Gable's real and imagined C18 activists, is an ordinary working man. It is simply his unconditional racial hatred coming to the fore. In the January 1994 editorial of *Searchlight*, Gable slagged off Special Branch on account of its abject failure to "comprehend the dangerous nature of groups like Combat 18...or even give recognition to what they are already doing." Incredibly, the Searchlight Organisation, together with Gable's hatemongering co-racialist and American correspondent Leonard Zeskind, had been giving evidence to the Home Affairs Committee investigating racial attacks. Special Branch were said to have "poached a *Searchlight* informant on at least one occasion", which bodes ill for our national security set up, but what bodes well is the aforementioned fact that Special Branch failed to comprehend the threat of Combat 18. What this really means is that they comprehend the "threat" too well. They have Combat 18's measure, and hopefully, soon, they will have Gable's.

As well as slagging off Special Branch, Gable called for the Security Services to investigate such groups, but this is just waffle; Gable is simply strutting his stuff, feigning righteous indignation that his lies, hysteria and hatred are not taken seriously by the people in the know, and of course, doing his best to portray the hated *Aryan goyim* of Combat 18 et al as Nazi baby-eaters. He may also be seeking to ingratiate himself with M.I.5. or to play off one arm of the Secret State against another, but so what? If Combat 18 and similar far right groups do need investigating - and this is a big if - then certainly they should be investigated by the Secret State rather than an incompetent, lie-monger, shit-stirrer and bigot like Mr Gable.

The editorial of the March 1994 issue was much more optimistic. "Chief Constables in Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire, Greater Manchester, Northamptonshire and Yorkshire have taken seriously Combat 18's declarations of war", Gable crowed. Well, not for much longer, Gerry. You see, when I read that, I mailed out one of my exposés of your declarations of war against the wicked *Aryan goyim* to the police forces concerned, and received a letter of thanks from one of them. I've no doubt that by now word has gotten around all the Chief Constables of all the police forces in the United Kingdom as to just what a little scumbag you really are.

The other, important factor here, one which O'Hara will never dare mention even if he notices it, is that the Home Affairs Committee "investigating" racial attacks is dominated by Jews, so Gable's ranting and raving is not serving the Secret State's agenda, but that of Organised Jewry. It's part and parcel of their campaign to totally criminalise race-conscious *Aryan goyim*. The Committee's chairman is Jewish MP Sir Ivan Lawrence, who can hardly plead ignorance of Gable and his ilk because he acted for Gable when the latter was charged with burglary artifice at the home of David Irving! (84) Another Jewish (Zionist) MP who sits on this committee is Barbara Roche. One need not question the loyalty of Sir Ivan, he is a dupe more than anything else, but his and Gable's hatemongering

co-racialists from the Board of Deputies "defence committee" have also given "evidence" to the Home Affairs Committee, in particular, those little scumbags Mike Whine and the even bigger whiner Neville Nagler. These "Jews" are doing the best they can to destroy what little freedom of speech remains in this country on racial issues. Their thinly veiled attacks on Home Secretary Michael Howard have been nothing less than disgraceful. (85)

Returning to Gable, this little scumbag has done the dirty work for the Secret State, as he has, unquestionably, for "Jewish" and Zionist organisations and others. But he has no loyalty to the Secret State any more than he has to Britain. He is a freelance hatemonger and mercenary. Similarly, Ray Hill sold his soul to Organised Jewry in South Africa. (86) That hardly makes him a philo-Semite, as he has since proved. (87) Organised Jewry have probably already realised they got very poor value for their shekels from this *shabbez goy*. Similarly, Gable has, in the medium and long term, given the Secret State: M.I.5. and Special Branch, more grief than joy. Perhaps one day they'll wise up.

Conclusion: O'Hara And Myself

Where We Agree And Where We Differ

O'Hara's other main contention, that Gable and *Searchlight* have built up a comfortable niche peddling their lies and conspiracy theories to the media, is spot on. It is because of this that he has been attacked with such vitriol, he and Robin Ramsay contend. (88) Part of this contention is that while Gable dismisses everyone to the right of John Major as a fascist, Nazi, anti-Semite ad nauseum, O'Hara takes fascists seriously. He might start by recognising the self-evident fact that many if not most people on the far right are not fascists and certainly not Nazis. Charlie Sargent, for example. (89)

O'Hara is a real threat to Gable and *Searchlight* for one simple reason, he is a good researcher. (90) Gable and *Searchlight* have built up a monopoly of "researching" the extreme right in Britain, primarily because other researchers - the media and academics - are either too lazy or too dumb to do their own donkeywork. To take one example, the media line worldwide is that *Holocaust* Revisionists are all Nazis, liars, cranks and charlatans. The slightest examination of the true facts explodes this Zionist-perpetuated fiction. One of the earliest serious Revisionists was himself a Jew, the late Josef Ginsburg (J.G. Burg). (91) There have been other Jews since. And whatever flaws the Revisionists' researches and arguments may have, they raise many serious questions which the controlled media is unable to answer satisfactorily. As far as *Holocaust* Revisionism is concerned, *Searchlight* simply parrots the media's line. Or is it perhaps the media which parrots *Searchlight's* line?

O'Hara's analysis has its faults - hence the current publication - but the basic facts he digs out give the lie to Gable's and *Searchlight's* nonsense. O'Hara's belief is that Gable is a Secret State "asset"; I think I have demonstrated here, clearly, that Gable is at least as big a liability to the Secret State as any sort of "asset", and probably considerably more so. (92) I am vain enough to believe that I am also a threat to Gable, but whereas O'Hara sees himself as a bona fide anti-fascist and seminal researcher breaking newground in hitherto uncharted waters, I see myself as a spiritual enemy, flushing the filth, slime and squalor of Gable and his kind down the toilet and thenceforth into the sewers of history where they belong. In short, O'Hara seeks to replace Gable; I seek to destroy him.

Appendix: A Reply To O'Hara

O'Hara publishes a statement, *Alexander Baron - A Clarification* as an appendix to *At War With The Truth*. As a matter of courtesy I attach a reply to it.

O'Hara says he disapproves of personal attacks of a *racial* nature, referring to my superimposing Gable's head on a *Protocols of Zion* logo, and also to my tribute to his lovely wife, Sonia. First, the poem. This was actually written to teach Gable a lesson as I had been annoyed by his repeated attacks on O'Hara, whom I regarded - and still do to some extent - as a man of integrity. (93) I had written to Gable and told him to desist. He ignored me,

so suffered the consequences. Re the *Protocols* logo, I thought, and still think, this is a riot, and I intend to keep using it. Gable's magazine has referred - among other things - to BNP supporters as lice; (94) to an *Aryan Resistance* supporter as a heap of garbage; (95) and to a distinguished Talmudic scholar as a "neo-nazi collaborationist". (96) If that doesn't count as racial hatred, what does?

O'Hara refers to the credence I give to "the fictions advanced by the 'Holocaust Denial' industry". In my publication *Editors! Are You Being Fed A Load Of Bullshit?...* I stated - correctly - that *Searchlight* had wilfully misrepresented two photographs of Bergen-Belsen and Dachau as "proof" of the Nazis' alleged genocide of the Jews. (97) I said also that *Holocaust* "expert" Raul Hilberg had been humiliated at the first of the Zundel "thought crime" trials - as undoubtedly he had - and that Rudolph Vrba - a key "eyewitness" - was proved a brazen liar. (98) Presumably then O'Hara takes at face value the following claim by Vrba, which appears on page 196 of his Hun-hating novel disguised as an autobiography, *I Cannot Forgive*:

After he had helped dispatch a shipment of his fellow Jews to the gas chamber, *Sonderkommando* Philip Muller reports to Vrba how it went:

"Quietly, Rudi," he said. "Very quietly. They sang the Czech and Jewish National Anthems all the time and they just walked straight into the chambers."

"No resistance?"

"We were waiting for it, but it never came. Had they started a fight we would have joined them."

This is at least an improvement on a similar canard which appears in Wilhelm Stäglich's excellent study: "In the gas chamber, a Polish girl delivered an *impassioned speech*, exhorting the *assembled Jews* to avenge the Poles. Profoundly moved, the Poles knelt and sang the Polish national anthem, in their last moments full of hope for the future of their nation. With that, everyone...burst into the *Internationale*, and died *amidst song in the ecstasy of dreams of universal brotherhood and a better tomorrow*." (99)

Anyone who'll believe crap like that, deserves to be gassed. O'Hara is obviously afraid of being smeared as anti-Semitic. Wake up, Larry, it's already happened, so there's no point crawling to the slime of Organised Jewry any more; you're scarred for life now, like me. But, O'Hara does just that, he says he disapproves of my "intemperate attacks on the Board of Deputies of British Jews." Actually, I attacked the "defence committee" of that august organisation, in particular its scumbag director, who has libelled me grossly and, as far as I am concerned, has since conspired to incite violence against me. You kiss his arse, Larry, I call slime slime, whatever its parentage. I'm not any kind of anti-Semite because I never but never rail at Jews in the abstract.

O'Hara claims I wrote something under a pseudonym pretending to be black. Actually Larry, unlike most "anti-racist" activists, I do have one or two black friends. More than that, some of them can actually read and write. Don't be so bloody insulting. O'Hara also refers to the "deeply sickening" *homophobia* which permeates my writings. Guilty! I find the thought of men having anonymous sex in public toilets stomach-churning, and I'm not afraid to say so. O'Hara refers to militant homosexuals as "radical people"; I refer to them as reservoirs of filth. You decide which of us is being objective.

Ironically, one of O'Hara's contacts told me that if I proceeded with my legal action against one of Gable's distributors, I would lose what little support I had on the "radical" left. In the first instance, I don't want the support of sodomites or of "anti-fascist" thugs. In the second place, what fucking support? My own union, the NUJ, didn't even think it worth mentioning when I was attacked and hospitalised by mallet-wielding thugs on account of my dissident publishing. And not one of the so-called "radical" left publications or "quality press" has so much as given me a line of comment. (100) All I've had to date has been libels in the *Jewish Chronicle*. Fuck them, Larry, and fuck you, too.

Not only is O'Hara totally opposed to my methods, he also stresses his commitment to "fighting anti-Semitism and other forms of racism". When will these stupid people ever learn? The former is what Gable and company have been doing for the past thirty and more years, of course. But before you can fight anti-Semitism, Larry, you have to find, or more often, make, some anti-Semites. The most effective way of doing this is by sponsoring groups of Jewish-born thugs like the 43 Group and the 62 Group. If the *goyim* aren't anti-Semitic already, they soon will be after they've been smashed on the head with a brick apiece à la Jeffrey Hamm, or hounded at their places of work like Andrew Brons, Malcolm Skeggs and numerous other white nationalists. As to fighting the mythical disease of *racism*, the best way to do that would be to find yourself a black girlfriend. (That shouldn't be too difficult from what I've heard). If you do that, Gable might even stop hating you. But what about anti-white, and in particular, anti-*Aryan racism*? What about the insane conspiracy theories of Gable and his hatemongering co-racialists and Gentile fellow travellers which preach the doctrine of *Aryan* evil in exactly the same way that the

anti-Semitic international preach the doctrine of Jewish evil through the *Protocols of Zion*. What about the right of *Aryans* to exist? None of that counts, you dumb *goy* bastard.

Finally, O'Hara says that he will continue to correspond with me in the interests of his research. No you won't, Larry. I don't like your telephone manners, nor your paranoid conspiracy theories, nor your slagging off my friends, Lady Birdwood for one. Ta ta.

Notes And References

- (1) Following the normal convention, when speaking of *Searchlight* magazine, I italicise the word. When speaking of the Organisation, I do not.
- (2) The pamphlet *Searchlight On A Searchliar* was co-written with my fellow Libertarian Mark Taha, who has also had considerable input into my other researches. *A Lie Too Far* was co-written with Tim Scargill; people associated with *Green Anarchist* have also had an input into *At War With The Truth*.
- (3) On page 20 of *At War With The Truth*, O'Hara claims *Searchlight* had "Highly suspicious foreknowledge" of the contents of *Target*, issue 2. There is a very prosaic explanation for this, Larry. You work it out.
- (4) For irrefutable documentation on this, the reader is referred to the following publications: *The Zionist Connection*, by the American anti-Zionist Jew Alfred M. Lilienthal; *Publish It Not...The Middle East Cover-Up*, by Michael Adams and Christopher Mayhew; and to the current writer's pamphlet, *After Millwall...A Real Case Against The Board Of Deputies Of "British" Jews "defence committee"*.
- (5) The full text of this is actually published in a separate article, by editor and publisher Robin Ramsay, in the same issue of *Lobster*.
- (6) *Destabilising the "decent people"*, by Duncan Campbell, Bruce Page and Nick Anning, published in the *New Statesman*, February 15, 1980, pages 234-6.
- (7) *Ibid.*
- (8) Steve Masterson (or Meyers as he calls himself when he is frightening money out of paranoid Jews) is an arch-rival of Gable. He has written in a "public reply" to Gable's slanders that "It is not surprising that the whole world is one of conspiracies and scheming to Gable - its [sic] how he operates." For an example of Gable's Nazi-hunting fantasies the reader is referred to the current writer's *A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons*; this also catches him lying in print using his own words.
- (9) *The Times*, February 18, 1977, page 2.
- (10) *The Times*, June 4, 1977, page 3.
- (11) *The Times*, May 28, 1977, pages 1&3.
- (12) The title of an article in *Time Out*, March 18-24, 1977.
- (13) *The ABC of Section Two*, published in *Time Out*, February 25-March 3, 1977, pages 4-6.
- (14) Now that the Soviet Union has collapsed and the Russians are on "our side", North Korea is being groomed as a nascent threat to world peace. Along with Saddam Hussein and the menace of Islamic Fundamentalism.
- (15) *Time Out*, March 25-31, 1977, page 6.
- (16) According to *Willing's Press Guide 1994*, (volume 1, page 1166), *Time Out*, which was established in 1968, had an ABC circulation from January to June 1993 of 107,887. While, according to page 593 of the *NEWSPAPER PRESS DIRECTORY 1975*, (124th Edition, published by Benn), *Time Out's* circulation was a mere 42,425. As it has always been primarily a London-oriented events magazine, this circulation is far less impressive than it would be for a political or academic journal. That being said, *The Eavesdroppers* was far from the first article on the Secret State that *Time Out* had published, so it may have been that somebody somewhere decided that enough was enough.
- (17) From page 181 of *THE INTELLIGENCE GAME: The Illusions and Delusions of International Espionage*, by James Rusbridger, published by I.B. Tauris, London, (1991).
- (18) *Time Out*, September 22-28, 1978, page 5.
- (19) Rusbridger, *The Intelligence Game*, page 180, (op cit).
- (20) *Time Out*, November 24-30, 1978, page 6.
- (21) *New Statesman*, page 234, (op cit).
- (22) *The Guardian*, February 25, 1980, page 8.

- (23) *ATTEMPTED MURDER: THE STATE/REACTIONARY PLOT AGAINST THE NATIONAL FRONT*, published by *Nationalism Today*, printed by N.T. Press, Norwich, Norfolk, (1986), Author(s) uncredited, Introduction by Nick Griffin. See in particular pages 46-7 and page 19 respectively.
- (24) This quote clearly illustrates the importance of doing one's own research. In Rusbridger's book it is attributed to E.P. Thompson in the *New Statesman*, June 1978 and reads thus: "The columns of the *Daily Express* are a kind of official urinal where high officials of MI5 and MI6 stand side by side patiently leaking secrets to Mr Pincher who is too self-important and light-witted to realise how often he is being used." In reality, this quote appears in a long article on page 612 of the *New Statesman* issue for November 10, 1978. The article, which was indeed written by E.P. Thompson, is called *The secret state within the state*.
- (25) This is something even some Jews realise. The well-known anti-Zionist Tony Greenstein - a drinking pal of O'Hara's - made a similar observation in an anti-*Searchlight* article in 1990. "For a Zionist group like UJS, anti-fascism is always secondary to its main purpose...Zionist groups don't mobilise for anti-fascist marches." [From *Red Action*, September/October 1990, issue 57, page 3.]
- (26) *Sniper shines the searchlight on Gerry Gable and illuminates some disturbing facts...*, published in the *Sniper* column in the magazine *Anarchy*, 2nd series, Summer 1983, pages 23-5. (27) *Lobster*, issue 12, undated but cDecember 1986, page 35.
- (28) *Searchlight*, February 1991, page 20.
- (29) The Yellow Star Movement was actually non-denominational.
- (30) For the full story, see *Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons*, (op cit). (31) *62 Group answers Deputies*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, March 4, 1966, page 11.
- (32) The *South London Press* for April 28, 1978, carried a rather interesting story on its front page: *West Indian Block's white general secretary reveals 'I SPIED AGAINST FASCIST GROUPS'*. The man in question claimed to have spied for the 62 Group. The West Indian Block was a fringe political party. The man referred to, Peter Meadows, was said to have been a 41 year old former member of the BUF. (Obviously this should have read Union Movement). There is good evidence that the 62 Group (and its violent predecessor the 43 Group) also ran "moles" - read *agents provocateurs* - in the far right in much the same way *Searchlight* has and does.
- (33) *THE SECURITY SERVICES: ARE THEY A THREAT TO FREEDOM?*, a Libertarian Alliance conference held at the New Cavendish Club, Central London, Sunday, November 14, 1993. O'Hara's speech was entitled *Searchlight Magazine: A Case Study in Secret State Media Manipulation*.
- (34) *Writer's Name "leaked" to NF*, by David Rose, published in the *Guardian*, Wednesday, January 31, 1991, page 2.
- (35) Before he discovered what a scumbag he is.
- (36) "No Evidence" of TA-Nazi "goings on", published in the *Daily Telegraph*, May 12, 1976, page 3.
- (37) Undated telephone conversation.
- (38) Keith Thompson, a leading far rightist, has a slightly different opinion of Column 88. In an interview April 26, 1994, he told the current writer that: "It has been an idea in the movement to have fake organisations that the Jews will go crazy about all over the place. For instance, if a synagogue got daubed, no matter who did it, better that Column 88 took the blame for it. So it was run as a deliberate time waster for our opponents to go chasing after." It was a time waster all right, because the people who ran it were exactly that, time wasters.
- (39) The full sickening story of the Brian Gentleman fit up is told in *Now, the truth about the spy who never was*, by Barrie Penrose, and Mazher Mahmood, published in the *Sunday Times*, April 27, 1986, page 3; *Police question TV men over Czech spy claims*, by Barrie Penrose and Mazher Mahmood, published in the *Sunday Times*, May 25, 1986, page 5; and *SPY TRIAL BY TELEVISION*, by Duncan Campbell, Patrick Forbes and Jolyon Jenkins, published in the *New Statesman*, July 25, 1986, pages 10-11.
- (40) On April 13, the story of the 20/20 *Vision* "exposé" was related to *Observer* readers under the title *Civil servant was spy: MI5 BLUNDER OVER THE CZECH CONNECTION*. The story was front page news, which doubtless pleased no one in the Secret State. Part of O'Hara's thesis is that Gable's and *Searchlight's* scams conform to alleged empire building tactics of the Secret State. Empire building is one thing, so is exaggerating one's own importance and squandering public money. But running around like blue-arsed flies giving the Third Degree to gullible civil servants and making your own operatives look incompetent to the general public is another thing entirely. The purpose of empire building is to enhance one's prestige, which clearly none of Gable's capers has done for his alleged Secret State employers.
- (41) Cited by Morris Kominsky in *THE HOAXERS: Plain Liars, Fancy Liars, and Damned Liars*, published by Branden Press, Boston, (1970), page 226.

GERRY GABLE: SECRET STATE ASSET OR LIABILITY?

- (42) Anyone who reads such insane ravings will soon realise why. Among other things the Britons published a journal which went initially under the name of *Jewry Ueber Alles* finishing life as the *British Guardian*. It is truly amazing that anyone ever took such claptrap seriously, yet at the time, millions did.
- (43) With all the whining and wailing Organised Jewry and their fellow travellers do about anti-Semitism nowadays, this has been quietly forgotten. The Chairman of the Britons from 1918-31 was Dr J.H. Clarke, Chief Consulting Physician to the Homeopathic Hospital, London. Other respectable supporters included the entrepreneur and inventor Arthur Kitson, and the world's leading authority on the one-humped camel, Arnold Leese, who went on to distinguish himself by being tried for seditious libel and becoming perhaps the most fanatical anti-Semite this country has ever produced. At the time, literally millions of people throughout the world believed in the Jewish world conspiracy, including many Jews.
- (44) *LEON TROTSKY CURSED BY FATHER Trail of Terrorist*, by Bernard O'Donnell, published in the *Empire News*, Sunday, August 25, 1940, page 5.
- (45) The best book on this taboo subject is Robert Skidelsky's excellent biography *Oswald Mosley*, a new edition of which was published in 1990. Although he makes all the right noises about condemning the fascist movement and Mosley himself, Skidelsky's pragmatic bias is overwhelmed by his scholarship.
- (46) *The Blackshirt*, August 12th-18th, 1933, quoting the *Jewish World* of August 3rd, 1933.
- (47) *Unusual Jewish Crime*, published in *The Blackshirt*, December 26, 1936, page 7.
- (48) There were of course a number of cranky anti-Semitic groups in Britain (including the aforementioned Britons); most notable among the fascists was the Imperial Fascist League under the command of the extreme anti-Semite Arnold Leese, but they had no popular support. Leese even condemned Mosley as a Jewish agent.
- (49) The influential *American Hebrew* newspaper for November 5, 1926 carried an interview with an Italian Jewess who boasted that she had been a leader of women's fascism before the rise of Mussolini.
- (50) Many British fascists served in the armed forces, some with distinction. A.K. Chesterton, Mosley's biographer, fought for Britain, Mr Chesterton also fought in the First World War. The first official British casualty of the war was actually a member of the BUF, 22 year old Aircraftman 2nd Class Kenneth Day.
- (51) *Jewish Chronicle*, September 8, 1939, page 7. Weizmann declared that the Jews stood by Great Britain and would fight on the side of the democracies.
- (52) Page 8, *The 43 Group: the untold story of their fight against fascism*, by Morris Beckman, published by Centerprise Publications, London, (1992), Foreword by Vidal Sassoon.
- (53) Page 89, *THE BRITISH POLITICAL FRINGE: A Profile*, by George Thayer, published by Anthony Blond, London, (1965). According to Thayer, the 62 Group actually grew out of the initially non-violent Yellow Star Movement.
- (54) Undated telephone conversation. I believe that was the exact phrase he used.
- (55) See in particular *Relentless searchlight on hunting the hated*, article/interview by David Winner, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, October 23, 1987, page 10. And *Life in the shadow of the fascist threat*, by Martin Shipton, published in the *Northern Echo*, September 2, 1989, page 6.
- (56) Since I began work on this study I have come across something which leads me to believe that this is simply a rationalisation - and yet another of Gable's myriad lies. Writing in *THE JEWISH CONTRIBUTION TO CIVILISATION*, [Horovitz Publishing/East And West Library, London, (1956)], Jewish author Cecil Roth says that "On Good Friday, in many places, [Jews] were stoned by the rabble and buffeted by the authorities - sometimes with fatal results." This quote, which appears on page 26, refers to Jewry during the Middle Ages.
- (57) I wonder that I didn't realise this myself. The most cursory textual analysis soon reveals this to be true.
- (58) From Volume II, Chapter XIII, page 343 of the James Murphy translation, reprint of the 1942 edition.
- (59) The June 1982 issue of *Searchlight* makes the same point. In *RECAP No. 3: THE CONSPIRACY AND RACE*, Hitler is quoted thus: "It was and is the Jews who bring the negroes into the Rhineland, always with the same secret thought of ruining the white race by the necessarily resulting bastardisation..." You should know, Gerry.
- (60) The crackpot theories of the German Jew Franz Boas 1858-1942, the father of "cultural anthropology", have been responsible for the spread of this poison throughout academia. Carlton Putnam documents the influence of Boas and his fellow Jews and refutes their pseudo-scientific egalitarian drivel in his 1967 book *RACE AND REALITY: A SEARCH FOR SOLUTIONS*, published by Public Affairs Press, Washington.
- (61) The reader is referred in particular to the following publications *THE JEWISH VOTE IN GREAT BRITAIN SINCE 1945: Studies in Public Policy No 72*, by Dr Geoffrey Alderman, published by the University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, (1980). And *Black Anti-Semitism and Jewish Racism*, published by Schocken Books, New York, (1972). Alderman's study is particularly good and gives an insight into the attitude of grass roots British Jewry towards race and race-mixing. He concludes that it is very much within the mainstream British and *Aryan* attitude, in

other words, "I've got nothing against blacks myself but I don't want them coming here, I'd rather not live next door to them and I certainly wouldn't let my daughter marry one."

(62) There are now of course far more Gentiles engaged in the business of forced race-mixing, the dissemination of anti-Aryan propaganda and the race industry generally, but the Jewish input remains significant, and should never be forgotten. Jewish racial hatred was certainly the original driving force.

Jewish author Neal Gabler had this to say of Gable's predecessors: "From the start, Jewish self-hate and the striving for total assimilation was characteristic of those Jews attracted to Bolshevism...[The Bolsheviks'] conceptions of class war and the supremacy of the workers appealed primarily to those Jews who wanted to destroy the bourgeois Christian society that rejected them and the petty-bourgeois Jewish society they blamed for that rejection." From pages 333-4 of his embarrassingly titled book: *An Empire of Their Own: HOW THE JEWS INVENTED HOLLYWOOD*, published by W.H. Allen, London, (1989).

(63) This story was well covered by the mainstream press at the time. It's also covered by George Thayer in *The British Political Fringe* (op cit), who reveals that the Spearhead group were charged under the *Public Order Act, 1936* and gaoled as follows: Jordan, 9 months; Tyndall 6 months; Kerr-Ritchie and Pirie 3 months each.

The *Daily Express* for October 16, 1962, revealed that the four men were acquitted on charges of organising, training and equipping Spearhead but convicted them on lesser charges of arousing reasonable apprehension of displaying physical force to promote political ends.

(64) Written under the pseudonym Andrew Macdonald. Extremely poorly written, I might add. As is its "sequel", *Hunter*.

(65) This notorious anti-Semitic fake - the product of Eustace Mullins' sick mind - reads in part as follows: "We will openly reveal our identity with the races of Asia and Africa. I can state with assurance that the last generation of white children is now being born. Our control commission will, in the interests of peace and wiping out of interracial [sic] tensions, forbid the whites to mate with whites. The white woman must co-habit with members of the dark races, the white man with black women. Thus the white race will disappear, for mixing dark with white means the end of the white man, and our most dangerous enemy will become only a memory. We shall embark upon an era of ten thousand years of peace and plenty, the Pax Judaica, and our race will rule undisputed over the world. Our superior intelligence will enable us to retain mastery over a world of dark peoples."

For the full background to this and similar fabrications, see *Not The Protocols Of Zion!*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1994).

(66) This is grudgingly admitted on pages 82-3 of *THE YELLOW SPOT: the outlawing of half a million human beings...*, a 1936 anti-Nazi propaganda tract published by Victor Gollancz, with an introduction by the Bishop of Durham.

(67) According to the 1939 *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, Volume 1, page 336, the ADL seeks "to establish the falsity of the charges contained in scurrilous propaganda." Whenever anti-Semitism - real or imagined - rears its ugly head, these good ol' boys simply assume that the "propaganda" is scurrilous and false. The chairman of the ADL at this time was Sigmund Livingston, author of the B'Nai B'rith pamphlet: *PROTOCOLS of the Wise Men of Zion: A spurious and fraudulent document manufactured to deceive and to engender religious and racial hatred*, published in 1934.

(68) We have already alluded to the Britons Publishing Society. Other organisations and otherwise respectable individuals also engaged in anti-Semitic publishing of both the mystical and gutter type. One person who engaged in both was Lord Alfred Douglas (1870-1945), one-time catamite of Oscar Wilde. From 1920 he published a short lived literary journal called *Plain English*, which as well as publishing his own doubtful verse and prose engaged in the most appalling anti-Semitic banter oscillating between ravings about the *Protocols* (in which he was a true believer) and disgraceful attacks on Jews for no better reason than their ethnic origin.

(69) *THE PROTOCOLS AND WORLD REVOLUTION INCLUDING A TRANSLATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE "PROTOCOLS OF THE MEETINGS OF THE ZIONIST MEN OF WISDOM"*, published by Small, Maynard, Boston, (1920).

(70) *ADL Resumes Spy Operations In Georgia*, published in the American anti-Semitic newspaper *The Truth At Last*, page 9, issue 370, (undated).

(71) The *Guardian* for May 10, 1993, carried an article on the ADL/FBI scam. It claimed that the ADL had spied on 950 political and other organisations and held computerised files on 12,000 individuals. Tom Gerard, a former CIA operative, was out on \$20,000 bail charged with stealing official documents, among other things. He had served with the Agency from 1982-5.

(72) See for example *JEWS MUST SERVE NAZI POLICY Food Controllers in Rumania*, published in the *Jewish Chronicles*, June 13, 1941, page 10. For a fuller explanation of the current writer's thesis the reader is referred to

GERRY GABLE: SECRET STATE ASSET OR LIABILITY?

- How The Searchlight Organisation Incites Hatred Against Jews: A Fresh Look At The Scapegoat Theory Of Anti-Semitism And The World Zionist Conspiracy*, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (July 1994).
- (73) All victims of proven *Searchlight* smears. Both Tame and Eysenck are or were married to Jews and have impeccable anti-fascist credentials. Hamilton is a high flyer in the current Conservative government, his career could have been ruined by the January 1984 *Maggie's Militant Tendency*, *Panorama* programme which Gable and company "researched". Fortunately, he and the other victims of this despicable and totally unfounded smear were able to sue for libel. The bill came to half a million pounds.
- (74) In November 1991, Beck was given five life sentences for defiling boys in his care. Defence witness Paul Winston, then thirty years old and a father himself, claimed he had been buggered by Greville Janner, who had regularly slept with him. He said Beck had broken off this relationship. Of course, this allegation was totally without foundation and I don't believe a word of it. Honest.
- (75) Mosley didn't believe in the conspiracy theory of history and never accused the Jews of conspiring, rather of organising as a nation within a nation. The charge most often levelled at Zionist Jews is one of dual loyalty. This is a totally false charge. Hard core Zionists have only one loyalty. To their beloved Israel.
- (76) Rusbridger, *The Intelligence Game*, page 184, (op cit).
- (77) Rusbridger, *The Intelligence Game*, page 183, (ibid).
- (78) Rusbridger, *The Intelligence Game*, page 184, (ibid).
- (79) Rusbridger, *The Intelligence Game*, page 184, (ibid).
- (80) The National Front was, and remains, a democratic, racial-nationalist party. It is no more Nazi than was the South African government under the previous régime, as an analysis of its policies reveals. The Front certainly did include a number of former Nazis, and was founded by a former fascist, (A.K. Chesterton). But by the same token the Labour Party contained and still contains many Marxists and fellow travellers. That doesn't make the Labour Party a communist front.
- (81) Or sleep with him in the case of Sonia Gable nee Hochfelder.
- (82) We haven't mentioned yet the mythical 1986 plot to murder Gable. This was staged by *Searchlight* to poison the mind of the jury in the forthcoming *Maggie's Militant Tendency* libel trial. One of the plaintiffs was Gerald Howarth, then a Conservative Member of Parliament. He was alleged to have hired a hit man to murder Gable. In his 1993 book *Enemies of the State*, the former M.I.5. operative Gary Murray claimed that Gable arranged protection through a friend in Special Branch, so seriously was this "plot" taken. The story was fed to the *Daily Mirror* through a tame journalist, John Merritt, and to the *Morning Star* by Graeme Atkinson, currently *Searchlight's* European editor. The satirical magazine *Private Eye* was foolish enough to take the story seriously, and subsequently paid out substantial libel damages to Howarth and others. This is yet another instance of Gable leading the Secret State up the garden path rather than doing its dirty work. If Gable is on the Secret State's payroll, then both Special Branch and M.I.5. have received very poor value for money from this little scumbag over the years.
- (83) Telephone interview with Charlie Sargent, May 29, 1994. Gable actually had the front to accuse Sargent of engineering this himself.
- (84) 'RAID ON WAR BOOK AUTHOR'S HOME HAD POLITICAL ASPECT', published in the *Evening Standard*, (WEST END FINAL CLOSING PRICES), November 28, 1963, page 21.
- (85) A particularly disgraceful attack on Howard was reported in the forever wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth *Jewish Chronicle* on June 3, 1994, (page 52). Labour MP Jack Straw - who claims to come from "Jewish stock" - accused Howard of playing the race card. Howard's "crime" was to refuse to bow to the whims of the hatemongers at Woburn House (and *Searchlight*) and introduce yet more repressive "anti-hate" legislation. Ironically (but predictably), the Home Secretary is himself the target of the anti-Semitic far right simply because he comes from Jewish stock. My own opinion is that he - like many other Jews in high places - knows exactly what scum like Gable and slime like Nagler and Whine are up to, and refuses to give them an inch.
- (86) Hill claimed in his lie-ridden autobiography that after he had fallen on hard times "a couple of Jewish chaps" were very kind to him and his family. I'll bet they were.
- (87) See in particular *WELL, IF IT ISN'T...!: An old acquaintance turns up again in Lincolnshire*, published in *Spearhead*, July 1988, issue 223, pages 14 & 20.
- (88) Ramsay makes this point in *Lobster*, December 1992, issue 24 in an article in defence of O'Hara called *Our "Searchlight" Problem*. It should be pointed out that there are now two magazines called *Lobster*: the original (and genuine) *Lobster* under Ramsay's editorship and another published and edited by his one-time partner Steve Dorril. I have no intention of getting involved in the squabble between these two men, but it appears to me that

whatever nonsense Ramsay believes about the non-existent psychic powers of Uri Geller, he has the more genuine claim to use the name.

(89) I conducted a lengthy telephone interview with Charlie Sargent on May 29, 1994. During the course of this interview, Sargent told me that he had many ideological differences with the BNP. In particular, he wasn't that bothered about a "Paki" living next door to him, and that the far right should stand for more freedom rather than less. He was particularly opposed to the BNP's penal policy and corporal punishment, which in view of his drug convictions and apparently ongoing violence is hardly surprising. He may be a mild *racist*, he may be a football hooligan, he may be a nasty piece of work, he may even wear a Hitler T-shirt (as photographed by *Searchlight*), but one thing Charlie Sargent manifestly is not, is a Nazi.

(90) Although like all leftists his analysis leaves much to be desired. The same applies to all mainstream academics with the possible exception of Robert Skidelsky; their fault is that they are too spineless to face the facts about the Jewish Question, in particular the reality of Jewish power and Zionist mendacity.

(91) Burg gave evidence *for the defence* at the 1988 Zundel "thought crime" trial in Toronto, Canada.

(92) For several examples of Gable's and *Searchlight's* sloppy research, lies and analyses, the reader is referred to *Searchlight On A Searchliar*, edited by the current writer, and *Editors! Are You Being Fed A Load Of Bullshit?*, both published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, (1993).

(93) I do not believe that O'Hara has been entirely honest with me, but am willing to be charitable and put this down to his well-established paranoia.

(94) "The BNP, the political lice on the British democratic body...", *Searchlight*, May 1993, issue 215, page 12.

(95) In the June 1993 issue, a two page article *Coventry civil servant harbours nazi terrorists* (pages 12-13) not only makes this obviously bogus claim of Kathy Murphy but gives her home address and reveals that she lives with another "Nazi". It also comments that "Her fellow employees appear unconcerned about her activities." Adding, "Perhaps when Murphy's colleagues at work read this article, they and their union representatives will change their minds about having this heap of garbage under the same roof."

(96) *Searchlight*, July 1992, issue 205, page 10.

(97) I say alleged because however much Organised Jewry and their powerful allies may whine and wail, this genocide has *never* been proved, and the evidence against it has been steadily mounting over the years.

(98) Vrba admitted under oath that his autobiography was not an historic document but an artistic impression, whatever that is supposed to mean.

(99) From page 99 of *AUSCHWITZ: A JUDGE LOOKS AT THE EVIDENCE*, by Wilhelm Staeglich, translated from the German by Thomas Francis, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Second edition, (January 1990). This gem first appeared in a book called *Auschwitz: Zeugnisse und Berichte*.

(100) The only people who have supported me to date have been Libertarians, who have been marvellous; Robin Ramsay, editor of (the real) *Lobster*; a number of Jews, including the staff of the prestigious Wiener Library; personal friends; and, at long last, certain elements of the far right.

Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts

**Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing,
Box 2293,
BM Mono,
London WC1N 3XX.**

ISBN 1 898318 81 6

Copyright Alexander Baron, 1994.