

The Great “Racism” Hoax

Do you ever get the impression that everything you have ever learned, been taught about, indoctrinated into, about a certain subject, is utter baloney, like all of it? How about “racism”, that most pernicious of evils - so we are told. What is the UK experience?

The word was coined in the 1930s by Magnus Hirschfeld, and was used but seldom until the 1960s, like anywhere. About that time, people of a certain political persuasion in the UK began using the word “racialism”, which is of a slightly older vintage, then “racism” superseded it, becoming common currency. Here it was used in a very unsubtle yet successful attempt to brainwash both the gullible “goyim” and the equally gullible non-“goyim” into acceptance of both massive waves of non-white immigration and race-mixing.

The usual method was to juxtapose photographs from the Nazi concentration camps - principally Belsen and Dachau - with photographs of the “Nazis” of the day, and to associate them with any antipathy towards immigration. And it worked, primarily because of the massive funding anti-“racist” groups received from the right as much as from the left, hysteria in the media, and eventually the fear ordinary people had of being branded “racist”. Few stopped to think that they, their fathers and grandfathers had fought two world wars to keep out the foreigner and preserve the integrity of this island race only to surrender to invaders from all over the globe without a shot being fired.

By the 1990s, the efficacy of the Nazi angle was beginning to wane, partly because there were relatively few people left who had lived through the Second World War, and partly because many people across a broad political spectrum sympathised with the plight of the Palestinians. The Nazis were in the distant past but their “victims” were suddenly victims no more. The horror of the death camps - real and imagined - was replaced with the murder of Stephen Lawrence, the type of kid you might actually have met, unlike Heinrich Himmler.

The full extent of this brainwashing needs no relating here, but it will suffice to say that we - namely anyone white and especially English - was to be viewed as thoroughly evil and bigoted. Now let me refute all that garbage with one word: Sangatte. In case that is not familiar to you, it was the location of a Red Cross asylum centre which was closed in 2002. Less than 55 miles as the crow flies from Dover, asylum seekers (so-called) queued up there in their masses to cross the English Channel by fair means or foul. Now here is the \$64 million question, if the English especially are such horrible people, why would anyone - black or other - want to live here? Add to this the fact that France isn’t exactly an inhospitable place, at least it wasn’t the one time I was there briefly 22 years ago.

The bottom line is that no one from Africa nor anywhere else - whether genuine asylum seeker or more often economic migrant - no one in either of those categories who has made his way to France need seek asylum in the UK, yet they did and they still do. Why, if we are such terrible people?

Now let’s look at the United States, which has an entirely different and more painful racial heritage than the UK, that of slavery, and let us not forget of course that the original inhabitants of that great continent are now a disenfranchised minority, as the natives of these islands are swiftly becoming. Nevertheless, slavery was ended with the American Civil War, and Blacks have made great strides since then, although the same cannot be said for the Red Man. What though are we led to believe about the US? Only that slavery did not end with the American Civil War, that it did not end with the contrived judgment in *Brown v Topeka*, nor with the staged Rosa Parks bus protest the following year but maybe, just maybe with the

misnamed *Civil Rights Act* of 1964. What was it that King bloke said, I have a dream? Now let me debunk all that with two words: Chuck Berry.

Earlier this year I was shopping in my local supermarket; the cashier was a young black girl wearing a name badge, Nadine. As I paid for my purchases I asked her is your Dad a Chuck Berry fan? For those who do not share even my less than formidable knowledge of contemporary music, Berry recorded a song called *Nadine*...in 1964. I was surprised at her response: "Who's Chuck Berry?" Like seriously. Somehow I don't think she would have asked "Who's Stephen Lawrence?" who is clearly a far more important icon to most of the brainwashed blacks - and whites - of the youth of today. Not infrequently people talk of his legacy; Stephen Lawrence has no legacy because he never achieved anything; that wasn't his fault of course, one might say the same thing about Sarah Payne, who was struck down at the age of eight in similar cruel fashion. But how can any black kid in the US or the UK not have heard of Chuck Berry?

Berry has written a lot of songs. Like *Nadine*...his 1955 single *No Money Down* is not particularly well known. Here is what he said about it; the song "got its origin from the salesman's pitch that I usually got when buying my first few cars".

Berry was born in 1926, and bought his first car as a teenager, a 1934 V8 Ford, for \$10 down and \$5 a month - he was too young to sign the hire purchase agreement, so his older sister Thelma did the honours. In his autobiography, he says he went through a dozen automobiles in 12 years or less.

I realise I'm not the sharpest knife in the drawer, but perhaps Doreen Lawrence or better still Angela Yvonne Davis can explain to me how someone who was so "oppressed", little more than a slave, could have afforded to buy a car at that young age? But it gets worse, in 1944 on account of youthful follies, Berry received a ten year sentence, and might have disappeared into obscurity; instead he became arguably the biggest name in rock 'n' roll, and inarguably the most influential figure in contemporary music. If there had been no Chuck Berry there would have been no Beatles, no Rolling Stones, no Eric Clapton, and probably no Pink Floyd either.

Okay, he had luck as well as talent, but exceptional though his story is, it is far from unique, so why in the wake of recent events in Ferguson do we hear only about "racism", more "racism" and yet more "racism", which has expanded into a myriad forms, but structural "racism" and institutional "racism" appear to top the list at the moment.

The reality is that the entire narrative is wrong, all of it. Period. As Kent Steffgen wrote in his 1966 book...*Bondage Of The Free*..."The Civil Rights Movement has publicized itself as a drive for economic advancement for the Negro but actually revolves about an attempt to invade the social privacy of whites".

He points out too that at that time, American Negroes owned 4.5 million automobiles, almost one for every four persons, while in the then Soviet Union there was one car for every 350 people, and most of those were owned either by the state or by apparatchiks. That being the case, was it really such a terrible thing to be a Negro in even the American Deep South? Was it so degrading to have to use different water fountains and rest rooms, or was this something most people simply didn't notice or bother about until hordes of "liberals" - including many Jews - descended on them *en masse* to tell them how oppressed they were, and stir up animosity in communities that had for the most part lived amicably side by side for generations?

To acknowledge this fact is not to whitewash the Deep South, the murder of Emmett Till and other occasional outrages, the big question is what do Blacks have there today what they didn't have in the 1950s when Chuck Berry was launched on an unsuspecting world? What they don't have are stable communities, because with the outlawing of segregation, and more importantly the forced race-mixing and brainwashing that followed, there are no Black "communities" anymore; the doctor, the lawyer, the accountant, have all moved out leaving only the ghetto, or the hood as it is now known. The reason for this is, yes, you guessed it, "racism" - ie evil white men, and the solution, more anti-"racism", ie more race-mixing.

When will the liberals and the race-mixers be satisfied? When the last white woman turns child-bearing age, and who will they blame then?