


PARAN[⊗]IA IN THE PEWS!

FURTHER TO our recent statement on the International Third Position (ITP), it has come to light that this bunch of old farts have published a paranoid 90-page booklet entitled *Satanism and It's Allies: The Nationalist Movement Under Attack*. Whilst the ITP is quite understandably frightened to get to grips with our accusations of ideological deficiency, theft and corruption, by sheer contrast we in the NRF will not hesitate to deal with the lies and insinuations which are contained in their latest offering. It is almost beneath us to have to keep exposing these people, but as per usual we will continue to stand firm against those who wish to reduce Revolutionary Nationalism to little more than an irrelevant hobby-horse for docile Christian serfs. As all good nationalists will know, although a range of characters such as Jose Antonio and Corneliu Codeanu have often played a key role in nationalist affairs our creed has never been a *specifically* Christian phenomenon, on the contrary, it is part of a *far greater* worldview and one which has the ability to adapt itself to many different peoples and nations. As a result of this fact, we must - of necessity - rise above the sterile divisions of religious *bigotry* and petty Catholic *sectarianism* to which the ITP lends its name.

In general terms this latest ITP tract (Hallelujah and praise the Lord!) is mainly designed to smear a few of those who form part of the European Liberation Front (ELF), the largest and most radical NR grouping in the world today. It is also designed to try and attack our comrades in America and New Zealand. But this brief statement is not intended to go into minute detail about the NRF's closest allies, indeed, political groups like Resistance and Alternativa Europa (as well as the many talented musicians like Michael Moynihan and Kadmon who have also been slandered by this hysterical booklet) are *more* than capable of defending themselves and our task is simply to refute the garbage which is said to relate to our *own* Movement. Let's roll up our sleeves, wade through the mire and examine this disingenuous booklet point by point.

- ◆ a) The attacks on the NRF occur towards the close of the booklet, in a section pretentiously entitled 'Post Scriptum' (a term probably unearthed amid the Jewish-inspired nonsense contained in one of their beloved English-Latin missals). The ITP correctly points out that the NRF has replaced Third Way as the English section of the ELF, although they describe us as "*an even smaller grouplet*" [p.87]. But if the minuscule ITP is trying to play the numbers game it is on to a real loser, especially when one takes into consideration the fact that their equally reactionary counterparts in the BNP are several hundred times their size and in no way more effective as a result. Apart from the fact that our use of cell-structure essentially means that none but a tiny handful of NRF activists actually know the true numerical clout of our organisation, perhaps the ITP has forgotten that *quality* is superior to *quantity*?
- ◆ b) Regarding our magazine, *The English Alternative*, it doesn't take a genius to work out that Michael L. Phillips was (at least most of the time) a pseudonym for Troy Southgate - just as *Final Conflict's* 'Ranting Ron' is a pseudonym for the childishly unfunny Gareth Hurley - but it also remains a fact that apart from guest writers such as Kerry Bolton, Christian Bouchet and Richard Hunt (as well as the 'England Calling' column), an average of around five or more people write for the magazine on a regular basis. This can be immediately ascertained by checking the style of the articles themselves. In fact none of the pseudonyms we used during *The Crusader* period were designed to fool anyone, they were simply there to give each article a more personal touch. Needless to say, this was rather an illogical exercise and since the formation of the NRF we have used no pseudonyms whatsoever.
- ◆ c) The ITP asks whether Mr. Southgate "*is moving off into Satanism*" [ibid.] because the ELF is allegedly crammed full of devil-worshippers, but we did ask these individuals to prove Mr. Southgate a 'Satanist' but apart from resorting to the guilt-by-association tactics often employed by the likes of the equally repellent Searchlight Organisation they have thus far declined to do anything of the sort. The ITP states that if Mr. Southgate *is* a Satanist (which he is not) then "*he is nothing to do with our world*" [ibid.]. Who said he was?! Thankfully, Mr. Southgate and the majority of the ITP's remaining activists happily departed this murky world back in September 1992. This demonstrates how the ITP are seeking to compete with us, regardless of the fact that we have nothing in common with these people and will certainly not be dictated to by a moralising sect of losers and inadequates. Just who the hell do these people think they are? The ITP can't even remain loyal to its own Christian principles ('thou shalt not steal', remember?), so should not waste time preaching to the likes of us!
- ◆ d) From this point onwards the booklet descends into a series of very bitter personal attacks on Mr. Southgate, and the booklet conveniently avoids the reasoned ideological arguments which we have thrown back in their faces time and time again (not to mention our unanswered accusations of theft and hypocrisy). We are first informed that Mr. Southgate "*is not a leader*" [p.88], although the ITP then decides to contradict itself by speculating whether he will "*succeed in becoming Leader of the NS-inclined*" [p.90]. Consequently, we are then told that Mr. Southgate "*is not an intellectual*" [p.88] - which in itself is a pretty strange comment given that neither he nor anyone else has ever discussed such a notion - but the author of the booklet appears to have a real problem with Mr. Southgate having recently obtained a university degree. He even points out that Mr. Southgate added the letters 'BA (Hons.)' to his surname [p.90] when he wrote a letter to a Catholic newspaper in Australia several years ago, but so what? Apart from the fact the ITP are once again trying to interfere in other people's private affairs, Mr. Southgate himself has explained to us that this was done to give the letter a degree of respectability and thus increase its chances of being published. In fact the same newspaper is apparently littered with titles of this nature. Intellectualism is not something to which the NRF is committed, although it would seem the writer of this hateful little booklet is perhaps aggrieved that he is rather deficient in this department.
- ◆ e) The ITP claims that during our period as the English Nationalist Movement (ENM) we copied their *Statement of Principles*, but the ENM circulated its own principles to around 2,000 potential sympathisers during the Winter of 1992-3 - in a booklet entitled *An Introduction to the ENM* - and at that stage the ITP had not even published its *Statement*. It is true there is some similarity between the two documents, but when the ITP uses this fact to say "*If the ITP is 'reactionary' so, therefore, is [sic] the ENM*" [p.88] they are clearly missing the point. You people are reactionaries because you have betrayed the principles of the NR Cause and embraced Fascist centralisation. You have also stolen many thousands of pounds from well-meaning individuals and continue to solicit funds for an abortive 'farming' project in Normandy which is now up for sale in Esmerelda Fiore's own name [Incidentally, Mrs. Fiore is the wife of Roberto, the ITP's notorious landlord-in-chief].

- ◆ f) It is alleged that Mr. Southgate *"appears to be very friendly with the 'Secret State' researcher, Larry O'Hara."* [Ibid.] Another lie. O'Hara did approach us in the past with regard to our break with the ITP and we deliberately fed him our side of the story. This was not a case of *"raving about everyone and every group that he does not like to the said Mr. O'Hara"* [Ibid.], it was seen as a chance to get the truth out into the open. Regardless of O'Hara's ridiculously Gable-esque opinions (believing the ITP to be on the verge of taking over the world, for one!), this was a successful exercise and our use of O'Hara was a means to an end. We are National Revolutionaries. We have no loyalty to the ITP whatsoever.
- ◆ g) We are then accused of *"actively promoting terrorism"* [p.89]. Apart from demonstrating that the ITP is willing to employ the sensationalist terminology of the mass media, this proves how soft the ITP is when it comes to standing up and fighting for its alleged 'principles'. According to the ITP, what they wrongly describe as 'terrorism' is futile because there is a risk of ending up in *"Prison or Boot Hill"* [p.91]. No doubt when the crunch comes these individuals will not make the necessary sacrifices because they will be too preoccupied with lying down meekly beside the Establishment Lion, bleating their little hearts out for mercy and tolerance (or in most cases collecting their thirty pieces of silver for helping to nullify the NR Cause). After reprinting part of a statement on armed struggle from *The English Alternative*, the ITP asks: *"what is Mr. Southgate going to strike back with?"* [Ibid.] But if the ITP had read the latest issue of our magazine they will have seen that we are *not* advocating conflict with the Establishment at this early stage in our development, because we are heavily reliant upon the increasing disintegration of the Capitalist System. Armed struggle at this juncture would be suicidal. A creed such as ours requires the right conditions, only *then* will paramilitary activity become a feasible option. The ITP is aware of our views on this score, anyway, they were simply trying to discredit us and make us appear like unreasonable lunatics. Unfortunately for them, if they truly believe that in the long term Revolutionary Nationalism can succeed without conflict then it is *they* who are the lunatics and not ourselves.
- ◆ h) We are accused of stealing other people's ideas because we have used the late Joseph Tommasi's popular NSLF slogan: 'the future belongs to those willing to get their hands dirty'. But this is not theft - about which the ITP could probably teach us a great deal - it is a fitting tribute to a man who in revolutionary terms broke the conservative mould and took the agenda forward. After all, we do not regard the ITP as ideological and theological copycats simply because they use the expression 'distributism' and employ the term 'Catholic'.
- ◆ i) It is said that Mr. Southgate is *"not principled"* [p.90], apparently because he is no longer a Catholic. However, by this dubious reckoning both Chesterton and Belloc were also unprincipled because neither embraced Catholicism until fairly late in life. Before Douglas Hyde became a Catholic he was a leading Marxist and Editor of the *Daily Worker*, so does this make him an unprincipled Communist? And what of the booklet's mention of 'a pagan convert' recalling his days in the Odinist Fellowship? Is he (if, indeed, 'he' truly exists) little more than an unprincipled Odinist? Is Roberto Fiore an unprincipled Evolian? Mr. Southgate is also described as *"a defender of 'National Socialist orthodoxy'"* [Ibid.], but the second half of this quotation has been deliberately taken out of context and lifted from our centenary tribute to Otto Strasser [see Issue #8 of *The English Alternative*, p.35] who, by struggling against Hitler to revive the core socio-economic tenets of the NSDAP programme was indeed a vigorous defender of NS orthodoxy.
- ◆ j) We are then led to believe that Alexander Baron - a libertarian who has devoted his whole life to stamping out the Zionist filth that is the Searchlight Organisation and been beaten and imprisoned as a consequence - is *"Jewish"* [p.91]. Prove it. Apparently, *"Mr. Baron's motivation is not clear in many respects, but one thing is sure. He is not part of our world."* [Ibid.] No doubt Mr. Baron himself would be among the first to agree. But even if he was 'Jewish', it is a fact that Lenni Brenner and Alfred M. Lilienthal are positively Jewish and they have also committed their lives to the struggle against Zionism. Are they part of the same *anti-Catholic* conspiracy, too? You people had better wake up to the fact that your whole religious philosophy (and most of its chief idols) stems from the roots of *Judaism*, or is that OK in your book? In fact the whole triumph of Catholicism is reliant upon the conversion of the Jews to Christianity!! So *now* who's controlled by the Jews?
- ◆ k) Unsurprisingly, *Tribal Resonance* - our cultural publication - receives a brief mention and the ITP's puerile tabloid-style approach is once again made apparent as uneducated references are made to groups such as Black Sabbath, Fear Factory and Burzum: *"the Scandinavian Satanist group, Burzum, are mentioned positively - a strange thing for an alleged 'Catholic' convert, is it not?"* Not really, no, especially if you are again referring to Mr. Southgate. He left the Catholic church some time ago and has explained precisely why in several widely publicised interviews as well as on the NRF's Internet site.



Finally, the very fact that the ITP is resorting to a policy of guilt-by-association in a futile attempt to disrupt the progress of the European Liberation Front (ELF) and Liaison Committee for Revolutionary Nationalism (LCRN) is truly contemptible. The likes of Fiore, Hurley, Holland et al have become so far removed from genuine National Revolutionary principles that these cretins really think they have the right to attack anyone who does not fit into their customised Catholic mould. **This attitude is typical of liars and hypocrites, and whilst the NRF will keep marching ahead regardless, we'll leave these sad losers to their embittered mumbblings amidst the stuffy confines of their favourite North London church.**