

The Rolf Harris WITCH-HUNT

[The following is a transcript of my narration only.]

Rolf Harris was born at Bassendean, Western Australia in March 1930. In 1952, he moved to Britain as a young art student and stayed for the duration. In 1958, he married his first and only wife, fellow artist Alwen Hughes.



In 1964, Alwen gave birth to their only child: Bindi.



By this time, Rolf's star was already in the ascendant; by the end of the decade he had firmly established himself as one of Britain's leading entertainers.

Although he never really caught on in the United States, he went on to become a massive star both in these islands and his native Australia. He was also well known in Malta, where he invested in a club, in Canada, and New Zealand.

As well as a fine artist, Rolf Harris was a singer, multi-instrumentalist, songwriter, comedian, raconteur, author, cartoonist, and TV presenter. His biographer Michael Heatley called him the most talented man in the world.



What was arguably the pinnacle of his long career was being invited to paint the Queen. Like her, he was still working well past retirement age, but on June 30, 2014, his world came crashing down when he was convicted of 12 sex offences against 4 underage girls. In addition to receiving a prison sentence, he was stripped of his honours and branded a paedophile.

He was said to have had many more victims. In reality, there were no victims, only one malicious little tart who had waited a decade and a half to exact revenge on him after their affair ended. His other accusers were all liars of the first order or seriously not right in the head.

Rolf Harris was the victim of a witch-hunt by corrupt police and lawyers of every stripe edged on by a compliant, spineless press. But he was far from the only such victim, only the biggest name. The witch-hunt called *Operation Yewtree* started not with the official persecution of Rolf Harris, but with the trashing of a dead man.

Although unlike Rolf, Jimmy Savile was never an international star, in Britain he was as big as they come. Savile was born in 1926, and after an eclectic early career he rose to fame as a DJ with Radio Luxembourg from 1958. From there he moved into television. On New Year's Day 1964, he presented the first ever edition of the BBC flagship programme *Top Of The Pops*, and co-presented the very last episode, on July 30, 2006.

Savile was awarded a knighthood in 1990, not so much for his media work but for what he did outside of his regular employment, in particular raising money for, and more importantly awareness of, mental health and physical

disability issues. Admittedly he was a tireless self-publicist, bleaching his hair, smoking a big cigar, and generally playing the clown, but there was no disputing the good work he did.

Because he never married, there were rumours that he was homosexual, rumours he did nothing to dispel, not because he was a homo, but because he relished all publicity. He appeared on the front cover of the first issue of the now thankfully long defunct *Gay News* at a time when although legal, homosexuality was still something of a taboo in Britain.

In 2007, a gaggle of demented women who had been pupils at Duncroft School three decades and more earlier conspired to frame him as a child sex abuser. In Savile's own words, Duncroft was a posh borstal for girls. Specifically, they were girls who were generally of above average intelligence, but had gone off the rails.

In 2009, he was questioned by Surrey Police about these allegations and debunked them all, so no action was taken against him. He died in October 2011, two days short of his eighty-fifth birthday, and was given a funeral fit for a king, literally, but shortly after he was laid to rest, the lie machine moved into overdrive, resurrecting the lies from Duncroft.

The person responsible more than anyone else for spreading these lies about Savile was this man, Mark Williams-Thomas. Rather than catching Britain's most infamous paedophile as he claimed, Williams-Thomas framed a dead man.



The BBC would shortly come in for severe criticism for its glowing tribute to Savile, but it appears that for once, the Beeb got it right.

Mark Williams-Thomas is a dodgy character, to put it mildly. He was a junior officer with Surrey Police, and with twelve years service under his belt, nearly halfway to a fat pension, he decided suddenly he wanted a change of career. That career change was nearly a disaster because in 2003 he would end up in the dock accused of attempted blackmail in a somewhat bizarre case. He was acquitted, apparently rightly.

The screenshot shows the BBC News website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the BBC logo, a search box, and a link to 'Explore the BBC'. Below this is a red banner with 'BBC NEWS' and 'LIVE BBC NEWS CHANNEL'. The main content area features a headline: 'Man cleared of blackmail' with a sub-headline: 'A journalist has been cleared of threatening to blackmail a funeral firm over claims more than one person was buried in the same grave.' The article text follows, detailing the allegations against freelance reporter Mark Williams-Thomas and the court proceedings. To the right, there are sections for 'BBC Southern Counties' and 'SEE ALSO: Man 'blackmailed funeral firm''. The left sidebar contains a navigation menu with categories like 'World', 'UK', 'England', and 'Northern Ireland'.

That experience and his twelve years as a police officer might have been expected to teach him a few things about false accusations, in particular the credibility or lack thereof of people who come forward and claim to have been sexually abused three decades previously. Then there was the little matter of the forged letter attributed to Surrey Police which claimed Savile had not been prosecuted because of his age.

ADVERTISEMENT

MailOnline

Revealed: Fake letter that cast doubt on Savile victim's claims... and played key role in BBC decision to ditch its Newsnight investigation

- Letter handed to Mail On Sunday by woman who appeared on ITV show which exposed Jimmy Savile as a predatory paedophile
- She said letter backed her claims Surrey Police dropped its investigation into the sex abuse allegations because of Savile's ill health and 'senility'

By RUSSELL MYERS and IAN GALLAGHER FOR THE MAIL ON SUNDAY
PUBLISHED: 22:00, 13 October 2012 | UPDATED: 13:59, 14 October 2012

Duncroft School was run by Margaret Jones, the aunt of Meirion Jones, who might be described as an unwitting co-conspirator. He was a journalist with the BBC and appears to have been the person who inspired Williams-Thomas to start this witch-hunt.

Unfortunately for the conspirators if not for her, although over ninety, Miss Jones was still very much alive when the scandal broke, and when interviewed at her home in Wales, she had some harsh words for her former charges, saying they were coming forward now with wild allegations about abuse to make money.



She said she had been totally unaware of any allegations made by any of the girls, although she appears to have been taken in by the nascent lie machine.


There have been claims Savile was caught abusing girls on camera. This woman made such a claim decades on, but here is the footage. These girls were clearly having fun, including Sylvia Edwards. If you are a woman, ask yourself this question – if a man, any man, had grabbed your private parts in this situation, would you have reacted like that? If you are a dude, ask yourself the same question.

For more details about the posthumous witch-hunt of Jimmy Savile, check out my video *The Truth About Jimmy Savile*, which I have linked below.

The documentary *The Other Side Of Jimmy Savile* was advertised well in advance, and this led to the Metropolitan Police setting up the aforementioned *Operation Yewtree* which led in turn to a witch-hunt of the male celebrities who had entertained us for the past fifty years, and to innocent lives being destroyed.

Having said that, not all those targeted were innocent. The DJ Chris Denning was a predatory homosexual who had a track record in Britain and the Czech Republic dating back to 1974.

Denning pleaded guilty to abusing dozens of boys, including a nine year old. He died in Bedford Hospital (while still in prison custody) on June 24, 2022, aged 81.




Gary Glitter needs no introduction because of his November 1999 conviction for possessing child pornography and for his later disgusting behaviour in the Far East, but in February 2015 he was convicted of attempting to rape a young girl in 1975. In the basement of a house that had no basement. That wasn't the only lie this woman told, but few people will have any sympathy for Gary Glitter, especially as his subsequent behaviour shows he still has an unhealthy interest in young girls.

The only real surprise here was the conviction of Stuart Hall, who had been interfering with slightly underage girls when he was a popular TV presenter in the 1980s, but even he was acquitted of all the major charges.

Before discussing Rolf Harris, it is well to mention Cliff Richard, who was never charged with any offence, but the behaviour of both the police and the BBC in this case was disgusting.

Because like Jimmy Savile he has never married, Richard was widely believed to be homosexual.



It was natural then that the allegations against him were made by men. The major allegation, the only one that received any attention from the mainstream media, was that he had indecently assaulted a boy at a religious rally in the 1980s. Richard was eventually cleared of this

allegation, principally because as a high profile Christian celebrity he'd had a police escort at the time.

As part of their so-called investigation into this fantasy assault, South Yorkshire Police searched his Berkshire home while he was out of the country. Searched it for what? And quite deliberately, they tipped off the BBC, who filmed the raid from a helicopter. This was an open invitation to every crank, chancer, mischief-maker and fantasist in the entire world to step forward with some sort of historical allegation. Fortunately, for Richard, none of his accusers was considered credible. Other celebrities were not so lucky, especially Rolf Harris.

He was arrested March 28, 2013 and bailed until May. He would eventually stand trial at Southwark Crown Court the following year, and on June 30 he was convicted of all 12 counts.

There were four alleged victims, but in reality there was only one woman whose claims had any substance, and as will be demonstrated shortly, she had an axe to grind.

The three other so-called victims were Wendy Wild also known as Wendy Rosher, Tonya Lee, and an unnamed accuser he was alleged to have groped in Cambridge.

Other allegations were made by women outside the jurisdiction, from Malta, New Zealand, and his native Australia. Tonya Lee is an Australian, but she accused Harris of indecently assaulting her in a South London public house. One of his accusers, who like Lee and Wild would eventually waive her anonymity, was the Australian make-up artist Suzi Dent. For the past few years, this pathetic woman has been promoted by sundry YouTubers as a "survivor" of Rolf Harris. Sorry luv, but even if these allegations were true – which they are not – if a guy touches your leg in a room full of people, you don't get to call yourself a survivor.



In addition to his false accusers, all manner of lies were spread about Rolf Harris, including that a stash of child pornography had been found under the floorboards of his then home in Sydenham by a plumber. In the 1970s, would you believe?

At that time, there was no Internet, so obtaining child pornography – which did exist – was very dangerous. It would almost certainly have to have been imported, and this would have been enormously risky. It would also have put Harris in the frame well before the *Operation Yewtree* witch-hunt, but this guy had worked with kids literally for decades, and no one had ever pointed a finger at him.

The fact that men like Rolf Harris and Jimmy Savile have been accused and even convicted of predatory behaviour after decades in the public eye has led to the concept of hiding in plain sight. But there is a very simple explanation for this: there was nothing to hide.

In addition to the four accusers at his first trial, Rolf Harris was charged with possessing child pornography. These images were all downloaded from the regular Internet, which is enough refutation. These charges were severed from the indictment and quietly dropped after the trial because all the images pictured were of females above the age of consent. And need I point out again that he was a fine artist? Artists have been known to paint the odd nude or two. This is one of his paintings called *Niki*.



And here is a much older painting that was at the centre of a manufactured controversy in 2023.

French Teachers Strike After Complaints Over Nude Renaissance Painting



BY FRANCESCA ATTON December 13, 2023 2:38pm



Giuseppe Cesari's *Diana and Actaeon*, 1603, oil on copper, 20 by 27 inches.
COURTESY WIKIMEDIA COMMONS

There were 844 unique page preview files on his computer, 485 were non-pornographic pages, 328 were adult-themed pornography while just 31, or about four per cent, were teen-themed.

That is a quote from an Australian news site, but it was widely reported. And it all depends on your definition of pornography. Is this pornography?



What about this?



He was also said to have made a handwritten note in his diary about how to delete his browsing history. This is the sort of factoid the media, the police, and state prosecutors use to make an everyday action sound sinister. Most people delete their surfing history fairly regularly if only because a myriad such files slow down your machine. There are programs that delete your history and/or allow you to surf anonymously. I've been using AVG for donkeys' years, but I have never written down how to delete my history



because I am not an 80+ year old man who can't remember visiting Cambridge. I have visited Cambridge, over thirty years ago. I even remember eating a pizza dinner there.

One might also ask if there was anything sinister about his browsing habits why he hadn't deleted all those pornographic pages?

Rolf Harris had expensive legal representation, but his lawyers were, frankly, useless, and do not appear to have prepared him properly for the trial. When questioned about the alleged Cambridge incident, he denied ever having been to Cambridge. He should never have done that, he should simply have said, honestly, that he had no recollection of ever being there, which for a man in his eighties would have been no big deal.

The prosecution pounced on this as it was easily demonstrated that he had been there, so he was branded, or made to look, a liar.

There was though one place he denied ever being, and definitely had not, that was the Leigh Park Community Centre in the West Country. This was the allegation that really skewered him and was the only one made by a woman who would have been a prepubescent girl at the material time. This is important because paedophilia is a sexual attraction or interference with prepubescents, not girls who are slightly underage.

Wendy Wild claimed to have been indecently assaulted by Harris at this venue on an unspecified date in an unspecified year but around 1969/70. She claimed Rolf had performed there.

This is a listing from very early on in his career; it was published in *The Wembley News*, February 1, 1963, page 3.



Although in 1969, he wasn't the massive star he would become, Rolf Harris was performing with a band, he had a manager, and was commanding a decent fee. Could the small Leigh Park Community Centre have afforded him? Almost certainly not. What then if he had appeared free, at a charity

concert perhaps? If not a record in the accounts, there would have been a leaflet, something. But there was no paper trail at all. The police and others made extensive searches of local archives and all relevant newspapers.

Because of the vagueness of the date, Harris was unable to say exactly where he was, but thought he may have been in Australia. The police found one witness who backed up this wild story (pun intended), but he was shown later to be a crank. At the time of the trial though, the police charged him, the Crown Prosecution Service authorised it, the prosecution presented it, the judge allowed it, and the jury convicted on it.

Afterwards, predictably, Wild sued Harris and was awarded an out-of-court settlement of £26,000. Wendy Wild died in May 2019 aged just 57. Four years later, the *Daily Mirror* ran a story about this lying hag, even though Rolf's conviction for indecently assaulting her had been quashed in November 2017, of which more anon.

Rolf Harris's youngest victim was proud she put him behind bars before her death

Brother of Wendy Wild, who was assaulted by the paedophile when she was just eight and was first victim to come forward, says she felt it was 'job done' when he was sent to jail


By **Matthew Young**, News Reporter
18:09, 24 May 2023 | UPDATED 18:10, 24 MAY 2023

The brother of **Rolf Harris's** youngest victim said he was sorry his late sister was not still here to see her abuser die.

Paul Wild said he remains "incredibly proud" of brave Wendy, who was just eight when vile Harris groped her at a disco in **Portsmouth**.

She is understood to have been one of the first to have approached police about the shamed entertainer in the wake of the **Jimmy Savile** scandal.

Although he may not have met his Cambridge accuser and definitely did not meet Wendy Wild, Rolf Harris definitely did meet Tonya Lee. This website was set up by two female Rolf Harris fans whom I call collectively Miss Marple – not the first time I have used that *nom de plume*, but that's another story.



Unlike the Metropolitan Police, they did some real investigating which totally undermined Lee's credibility. Having said that, the defence did much of this at the trial, but the jury still convicted him.

In 1986, the then 14 year old Lee visited Britain with a theatre group and claims to have been indecently assaulted by Harris in a South London public house. She made this claim after the initial story broke, and sold hers to the Australian magazine *Women's Day*.

Here is what she said at the trial when cross-examined. I am quoting here verbatim from *The Guardian*, May 21, 2014.

The title of this Press Association report is *Rolf Harris witness admits date error in sexual assault allegation*

Rolf Harris witness admits date error in sexual assault allegation

Former actor Tonya Lee, 43, tells court she was abused twice by entertainer in pub at end and not start of theatre tour in 1986



Former actor Tonya Lee, 43, told the jury at Southwark crown court on Tuesday that she was sexually abused twice by the Australian entertainer in a pub at the start of a theatre tour in May 1986.

But under cross-examination on Wednesday, she accepted itinerary evidence from defence barrister Sonia Woodley QC that showed she did not meet Harris until the end of the tour.

The defence claims Lee, from Australia, did not have any physical contact with Harris.

Lee said she was not a “robot” with a head for dates and had not kept any mementos or photographs from the tour because she did not want to be reminded of what happened.

She told the jury her memory of the tour “floated into the ether” but her recollection of what happened to her was clear.

“There is no doubt in my mind what occurred to me. Both assaults are very clear in my mind,” she said. “I got the dates wrong, I admit to that.”

On Tuesday Lee told the court that Harris met the performers from the Shopfront Theatre Youth Group when they arrived at Heathrow airport in May 1986, and later went with them for dinner at a pub where she claims he indecently assaulted her.

After she returned to Australia, she abandoned her dream of becoming a stage star, she told the court on Tuesday.

Lee also admitted on Wednesday to being mistaken about the cause of her weight loss during the 1986 tour.

She told the jury the previous day that she lost between 9lb and 13lb (4kg-6kg) when she “stopped eating” and began throwing up after Harris abused her.

But she accepted on Wednesday that there were only six days between meeting Harris and her going home early in June that year, so the weight loss must have had a different cause.

Lee said: “I don’t know, maybe it was because I was overseas, away from my family. From that incident [with Harris] on, I did throw up and I did stop eating.”

She also denied claims that her eating disorders predated her meeting with Harris.

Lee has waived the usual right to anonymity given to sex offence claimants.

Harris, 84, is accused of 12 counts of indecent assault between 1968 and 1986, all of which he denies. Three of the charges relate to Lee’s claims.

The trial continues

We all misremember dates, often we forget things entirely, but in this case the date is important because this pathetic woman claims her encounter with Harris caused an eating disorder that affected the rest of her life. Clearly, this isn’t true.

The police do not appear to have visited the crime scene, but Miss Marple did, and here is the result.

Tonya Lee alleged that Rolf groped her under a tablecloth as she sat on his lap during a trip to *The QUEENS ARMS* in Woolwich. She was part of a theatre group and the group met with Rolf at the end of a UK visit.

Lee tried to have us believe that the meeting with Rolf happened at the beginning of the trip, on the first day in fact, but she admitted in court that this wasn't true and it actually happened at the very end. Prior to her admitting she was 'wrong' about the dates she had claimed that it had ruined the trip, and she had lost a lot of weight during the trip as a consequence of Rolf's actions. As it was found that the pub visit was at the very end of the trip I have to ask why this glaring discrepancy in her story was overlooked by the jury and why they found Rolf guilty.

My suspicions about Tonya Lee were raised before the trial started because I saw her YouTube video account of the alleged assaults. What sparked my intrigue was her claim that Rolf groped her under a tablecloth. English pubs do not use tablecloths. It appears that Rolf's defence team didn't go to the pub and have a good look at it because nothing was reported to that effect regarding the trial.

I doubted very much that tablecloths would be used, but Tonya Lee is Australian and obviously doesn't know that English pubs don't use tablecloths. I found this a strange thing to say.

Miss Marple continues:

Tonya Lee was interviewed by the Australian news programme *A Current Affair*. I first watched the video of this interview on YouTube many months in advance of Rolf being charged and a long time before the trial. Shortly before the trial the video disappeared but it emerged again after the trial in an edited form. The second emergence of the video in the link below has some very disturbing edits. The four main edits are;

- 1 Reference to the duffel coat
- 2 The tablecloth
- 3 The main description of the pot plant
- 4 The weight loss

It is well worth comparing the original interview and report by Ben McCormack published before the trial with the edited version published after the trial.

Here are her two descriptions of the first alleged indecent assault.

Here is the first.

And the second.

So Tonya Lee would have you believe that Rolf Harris indecently assaulted her twice in a crowded public house. She didn't protest, scream, or do anything. And nobody else noticed.

I could say a lot more about Tonya Lee, but the real cause of Rolf's undoing was his principal accuser, what was truly a case of Hell having no fury like a woman scorned.

This story has two narratives. I've already made it clear which one I believe, but I hope to convince you that even viewed most favourably for the prosecution, there is a case for more than reasonable doubt.

Bindi Harris had a friend of the same age who often travelled with the family. At some point, she and Rolf began an intimate relationship. She claimed it started when she was thirteen, that she had been groomed – to use a fashionable word; he said it started when she was eighteen and because of the circumstances, he was able to pinpoint an actual date. She said the abuse started in 1978. He said the affair started in 1983. Like I said at the start of this video, Rolf Harris was born in 1930, so do the math.

The affair went on until this young woman told Bindi about it. At this point, Rolf made the fatal mistake that would destroy his legacy, he fessed up and in March 1997, he sent a handwritten letter to her parents in which he apologised for what might rightly be described as a betrayal of trust but not a crime.

There are strong parallels between the case of Rolf Harris and another A List personality. The American entertainer Bill Cosby was born seven years after him, so is of the same generation. Like Rolf, he had a relationship with a much younger woman, though one well over the age of consent. Months after their last meeting, she accused him of drugging and

digitally penetrating her. And like Rolf, Cosby made the fatal mistake of telling the truth. This resulted in an avalanche of false allegations from demented women, many spurred on by the corrupt ambulance-chasing lawyer Gloria Allred.

I won't discuss the Cosby case in any depth here but will put a link to my dedicated full length video at the bottom of the page.

The reason Rolf's affair with his much younger mistress came to light is classic. He'd been having an affair with another woman. Andi Kingston was a divorcee twenty years his junior, and Rolf didn't simply have an affair with her, he as good as moved her into his home under his wife's nose. She lived in the converted boathouse of his palatial riverside mansion, and worked for him in several capacities including as a housekeeper.



Andi Kingston died from breast cancer in 1999 at the young age of 49, but she will have had no sympathy from Rolf's so-called victim who was clearly angry when the truth came out. It was only then that she claimed Rolf had been abusing her since she was thirteen.



Rolf's grovelling apology to the parents of this woman was obviously accepted because there was no mention of them going to the police, as they most surely would have done had they believed her. It was left to Little Miss Anonymous to do this herself a decade and a half later, which gave her plenty of time to embellish her story as an act of revenge.

This fact alone should have been more than enough for the jury to find reasonable doubt, but the prosecution and the media threw so much mud at Rolf, one can understand their convicting him of at least some of the charges.

This is a well-worn tactic that often bamboozles people especially in legal proceedings. There are two arguments here, both of them spurious. One is that none of these "victims" knew each other, so unless this is a conspiracy by the prosecution, the accused must be guilty as charged.

The other is how can there be so much smoke with no fire at all? How many people have reported seeing ghosts, the Loch Ness Monster, or Bigfoot. Can all these people be lying? Or imagine that you were the victim of a poison pen letter campaign – which nowadays is usually carried out on-line. What would you say to someone who said the fact that you had

been accused of thirty murders over a ten year period meant you had to have committed at least one of them?

Returning to the first point, please watch this short video first, it runs to less than two and a half minutes.

In 1996, dash cams were a rarity, and the quality of this film is appalling. It has been edited slightly for television, but it is clear that she never got out of the car.

Now imagine the camera hadn't been there, it would have been she said/he said, and why would a woman lie?

Now imagine further that this woman had delayed reporting this imaginary indecent assault for six months or perhaps a year. The sexual grievance industry would have us believe women often delay reporting because they are so traumatised.

In this case, the state attorney is running for re-election, and releases her video accusation, there is no dash cam to refute it, so what does he say?

This young woman was the victim of a serious sexual assault by a police officer; he might even name him. I believe there may be other victims of this officer out there; if you are one of them, please come forward.

The sexual grievance industry loves that expression, survivors should "come forward" and they will be believed.

How many women do you think there are in Palm Beach, in Florida, or in the United States who have a grievance against traffic cops, police officers, government officials, or just men in general? How many do you think would "come forward" after such an appeal, especially if a reward were offered, be it a material reward or just the prospect of getting their names in the media along with some tea and sympathy?

Let's say in this case fifty women "come forward". The prosecutor reads their witness statements and associated police reports, decides five or six of them will appear credible to a jury, the officer is charged.

What does the prosecution say in closing? You have heard from five women who have all told the same story about how they were indecently assaulted by this officer. They have shown great courage to testify against

this powerful man. None of these women know each other. There are only three possibilities: one is they are all lying – all of them?

Or this is an enormous conspiracy – ho, ho, ho.

Or the obvious one, that he is guilty as charged.

You see the point? This is the risk all male celebrities face all the time. Female celebrities and powerful women are also the victims of false allegations, but usually these are confined to claims that they slept their way to the top or are somehow financially corrupt. Of course, any or all of these things may be true in a particular case, but usually there is a paper trail or some other tangible evidence, not mere words – in the Rolf Harris case, angry words – uttered years later by a pretend victim.

By the way, I have put a link to that police stop below. The false accuser was a young woman named Jennifer Joyce Cook. When she appeared in court she was given a complex sentence and ordered to write a letter of apology. What do you think would have happened to Officer Marsigliano if there had been no dash cam and she had been believed?

The jury fell for the prosecutor’s flim-flam and convicted Rolf Harris on all twelve counts. One of these charges related to indecently assaulting his teenage mistress when she was nineteen, well over the age of consent. Totally unreal.

Thus, the man who had been a mega-star for decades was suddenly a pariah.

Then came the victim impact statements of his pathetic false accusers. Here are some extracts from the one made by his teenage mistress, which was published on the website of *The Guardian* newspaper, July 4, 2014.

“The attacks that happened have made me feel dirty, grubby and disgusting. The whole sordid saga has traumatised me. I have panic attacks and suffer from anxiety. The effects of the abuse have been with me for many years. I started drinking at the age of 14 to 15 years old. This was to block out the effects of what he was doing to me.”

Did her parents know she was drinking alcohol at such a young age?

“However, as a direct result of his actions, this has never materialised. I have never had a meaningful relationship whilst sober. I have also never been able to hold down a job. This was down to the need to block out what he had done to me through drink.”

So he was responsible for her drink problem? And for her lack of “meaningful relationships”, does that include her boyfriend with whom she wanted to set up an animal sanctuary back in the 1990s?

“Rolf Harris had a hold over me that made me a quivering wreck. He made me feel like a sexual object. He used and abused me to such a degree that it made me feel worthless.”

More of the same.

“I have been dry since the year 2000. I am still suffering from panic attacks and severe anxiety and as a result, I am unable to communicate and socialise with people. I therefore confine myself to only my immediate friends and family. This has made my world very small.”

Small world, small mind. As for panic attacks, try anger instead, anger when she found out that Rolf had been having it off with Andi Kingston.

The victim impact statement of the even more pathetic Tonya Lee was quoted by Mr Justice Sweeney in his sentencing remarks:

“In an email from Australia Tonya Lee writes that what you did to her was a turning point in her life that she has never recovered from.”

And “I live in a constant state of anxiety”.

On July 31, 2014, the yet more pathetic Wendy Wild appeared in an exclusive on page 13 of *The Sun* newspaper after waiving her anonymity claiming Rolf Harris had ruined her life.

Do you see a pattern here? I don’t want to go too far afield, but something I’ve noticed over the years is that when it come to sexual abuse – real and imagined – it is the empty vessels who make the most noise. Here is a short excerpt of an interview with Kara Robinson. She was just 15 in 2002 when she was kidnapped at gunpoint and raped repeatedly by the serial killer Richard Evonitz. Notice how matter of fact she is when describing the worst day of her life.



There are many similar testimonies of girls and women like Kara who have been unambiguously raped. They all sound like this. Compare Kara's testimony here with that of Tonya Lee you saw earlier. If you didn't know who they were, you'd have thought Lee was the one who had suffered the most. Tonya Lee does suffer, no doubt, but it is all in her head, including the imaginary sexual abuse. Men need to stay away from women like her, period.

In spite of Rolf's crucifixion by the media, there were many people who were more than a little skeptical of the verdicts, especially the alleged assault on Wendy Wild. This included people who lived or had lived in the area. One woman was particularly vociferous in her denunciations of Wild, but I will not name her here. On April 22, 2015, a petition was started in support of Rolf on Change Dot Org.

change.org Start a petition My petitions Browse Subscription Log in

Petition details Comments

The trial of Mr Rolf Harris at Southwark Crown Court. I respectfully relate to you my deep concerns of the verdicts given by the jury in the case detailed above. I ask that the case be reviewed as a matter of urgency.

3,641 Signatures 5,000 Next Goal

Support now

Sign this petition

First name

Last name

Email

Lewisham, SE26 United Kingdom

Started 22 April 2015
Petition to The Justice Minister (Ministry of Justice)

Why this petition matters

Started by Carol Barker

On July 4, 2014, he was sentenced to 5 years, 9 months in prison. On August 1, the Judicial Office reported he had lodged an appeal against conviction. This was refused two months later. And this is where I came in.

Dave Lee Travis was a well-known DJ; he was also another victim of *Operation Yewtree*. Although unlike Rolf, this witch-hunt didn't cost him his freedom, it did cost him his house, another example of what has become known as the process being the punishment.

The way he was treated was absolutely disgraceful. There were no allegations of offences against prepubescent girls, but he faced 14 allegations of indecent assault and one of sexual assault. On February 13, 2014, he was found not guilty on 12 counts with the jury deadlocked on the remaining two. Not only did the imbeciles in the CPS pursue a retrial but they added another charge, one dating back to 1995. He was convicted on one of these charges and given a suspended sentence.

After this outrage he stood outside Southwark Crown Court and thanked his legal team, including William Merritt. I looked up Merritt and was impressed by what I found. He was a former New Zealand police officer who was now working as a private investigator. We had a lengthy phone conversation; he was interested in the case but said that for professional reasons he could not contact Harris direct, so I wrote to the man myself on October 29. Rolf took my advice and hired Merritt. It was a good thing he did, because the imbeciles at the CPS decided to keep him behind bars for the rest of his life, and on February 12, 2016, they announced he would face no fewer than seven further charges dating from 2004 all the way back to 1971.

They did the same thing to the publicist Max Clifford who had been given an eight year sentence on May 2, 2014. While he was in prison he was charged with a further indecent assault dating back to 1981, but was found not guilty. All the same, he died in prison on December 10, 2017.

Clifford was a somewhat notorious person even before these allegations, but he was an exemplary father. His daughter Louise was an only child. She was one of those unfortunate people born with rheumatoid arthritis, being diagnosed with this when she was six years old, and would undergo

some truly horrific surgical procedures. Max doted on her, and after his death she continued his appeal against conviction posthumously. She died in May 2023 aged just 51.



Returning to Rolf Harris, William Merritt put together a formidable team who did stellar work. As well as getting rid of Rolf's useless solicitors he brought in Stephen Vullo who had defended Dave Lee Travis. He was one of the best QCs in the country and a man who knows how to expose these kinds of lies in the courtroom.



The second trial of Rolf Harris opened in January 2017, ending the following month with his acquittal on four charges and a retrial ordered

for the three on which the jury was undecided. And, would you believe yet another new charge? The retrial was held in May, and when the jury was unable to reach any verdicts at all, it was announced there would not be another retrial. Rolf didn't take the stand in either of these trials. My personal belief is that in the absence of exceptional circumstances an innocent defendant should always take the stand, but these were exceptional circumstances. In view of his great age, Rolf could easily have made a mistake as he did in his first trial about not visiting Cambridge. The prosecution would then pounce on this, and it could be fatal.

Here is his solicitor speaking outside the court on May 30, 2017.

The further fruits of Merritt's labours were the revamped appeal. The allegation that caused the most controversy was the Leigh Park incident. This was a bit like the hunting of the Snark, there was absolutely no evidence that Rolf had been to Leigh Park, like ever. In spite of this, the Crown opposed even that point on appeal, but fortunately, the Law Lords were not buying it. The appeal was heard November 7/8, and on November 16, 2017, the Wendy Wild conviction was quashed.

As this was the only allegation that Rolf had interfered with a prepubescent girl, no one can now in all honesty brand him a paedophile.

Sadly, they decided not to quash any of the other convictions, including those relating to Tonya Lee, who was clearly lying. Rolf wasn't the only person this head case had accused of sexual abuse. She claimed an uncle of hers had interfered with her between the ages of 5 and 15, or between the ages of 4 and 7, choose whichever pack of lies you want.

Her other mental problems were said to include anorexia and bulimia from the age of 11, well before she met Rolf. Women like this are toxic in the extreme, and all men would do well to steer clear of them.



Neutral Citation Number: [2017] EWCA Crim 1849

Case No: 2014/3548/B2

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL (CRIMINAL DIVISION)
ON APPEAL FROM SOUTHWARK CROWN COURT
HIS HONOUR MR JUSTICE SWEENEY
T20130553

Royal Courts of Justice
Strand, London, WC2A 2LL

Date: 16/11/2017

Before :

LORD JUSTICE TREACY
MRS JUSTICE McGOWAN

and

HIS HONOUR JUDGE BROWN, THE RECORDER OF PRESTON
(SITTING AS A JUDGE OF THE CACD)

Between :

Regina
- and -
Rolf Harris

Respondent

Appellant


Had the Law Lords quashed this conviction too, they would have to have ordered a retrial, which was clearly something they were averse to allowing.

To demonstrate just how sick was some of the media coverage of Rolf's downfall, try this on for size. One of his accusers at the second trial was a blind woman who claimed he had groped her for several minutes at the world famous Moorfields Eye Hospital in September 1977.

In May 2023, shortly after Rolf's death, this serial liar waived her anonymity, and *The Guardian* gave her space to rehash the lies she had told under oath. Merritt's investigation revealed that Rolf was far from the first man she had accused of doing something he shouldn't have, but at trial, Vullo had to be careful not to alienate the jury by being too aggressive with

a woman who whatever else may have been said of her, was one of life's unfortunates.

Even so, thanks yet again to the Merritt investigation team, the defence was able to prove that the indecent assault hadn't happened due to both the size of the room and the fact that another person was present – the sound engineer – whom this woman believed to be dead, but who was presented as a defence witness at the trial.



The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2023/may/17/why-blind-disabled-lin-berwick-denounced-rolf-harris>. The article title is "'He assaulted me in 1977 but I remember it like yesterday': why blind, disabled Lin Berwick denounced Rolf Harris" by Anna Moore. A black and white photograph of a young Lin Berwick is featured. A caption below the photo reads: "Nobody in my life had ever treated me like that before and no one has since' ... Lin Berwick as a young woman. Photograph: Optomen/ITV. Jailed for many other offences, the disgraced entertainer was acquitted of attacking Berwick when he was a guest on her hospital radio show. But she stands by her claims".

This isn't the first time *The Guardian* has promoted lies that were exposed in the courtroom.

Jian Ghomeshi was a big star in Canadian radio who was falsely accused of historical offences by a gaggle of demented women. Sound familiar?



Unlike Rolf Harris, he didn't face a jury trial but fortunately he had kept a tranche of exculpatory documents which led to his acquittal, yet on the very next day, *The Guardian* gave space to his principal accuser to rehash her lies that had been shot down by Ghomeshi's lawyer.

Lucy DeCoutere on the trauma of the Jian Ghomeshi trial: 'After everything I went through, Jian is free'

As told to Ruth Spencer
Fri 21 Mar 2016 10:30 GMT
Share 70

Lucy DeCoutere: 'In the aftermath of this verdict, I don't feel anything at all.' Photograph: Riley Smith/The Guardian

'I spent 12 months preparing to testify about one of the most painful experiences of my life, only to be mocked in open court. Now I know I never had a chance'

Something else I'd like to point out is the vacuousness of the people who control our criminal justice system. The Crown Prosecution Service is said to be independent. Yeah, right. Before bringing any prosecution they are said to apply a two-part test, called the evidential test or the evidential stage and the public interest stage. Leaving aside the latter, there is supposed to be sufficient evidence to mount a prosecution.

The Evidential Stage

4.6 Prosecutors must be satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to provide a realistic prospect of conviction against each suspect on each charge². They must consider what the defence case may be, and how it is likely to affect the prospects of conviction. A case which does not pass the evidential stage must not proceed, no matter how serious or sensitive it may be.

4.7 The finding that there is a realistic prospect of conviction is based on the prosecutor's objective assessment of the evidence, including the impact of any defence and any other information that the suspect has put forward or on which they might rely. It means that an objective, impartial and reasonable jury or bench of magistrates or judge hearing a case alone, properly directed and acting in accordance with the law, is more likely than not to convict the defendant of the charge alleged. This is a different test from the one that the criminal courts themselves must apply. A court may only convict if it is sure that the defendant is guilty.

4.8 When deciding whether there is sufficient evidence to prosecute, prosecutors should ask themselves the following:

Can the evidence be used in court?

Prosecutors should consider whether there is any question over the admissibility of certain evidence. In doing so, prosecutors should assess:

- the likelihood of that evidence being held as inadmissible by the court; and
- the importance of that evidence in relation to the evidence as a whole.

Is the evidence reliable?

Prosecutors should consider whether there are any reasons to question the reliability of the evidence, including its accuracy or integrity.

Is the evidence credible?

Prosecutors should consider whether there are any reasons to doubt the credibility of the evidence.

Is there any other material that might affect the sufficiency of evidence?


Prosecutors must consider at this stage and throughout the case whether there is any material that may affect the assessment of the sufficiency of evidence, including examined and unexamined material in the possession of the police, and material that may be obtained through further reasonable lines of inquiry.

What was the sufficient evidence in the Wendy Wild case – a woman who claimed to have been groped as a child at a venue four decades previously when there was not a shred of credible evidence Rolf Harris had been to Leigh Park Community Centre, ever, not just at the material time?

And what sufficient evidence was there to charge him with indecently assaulting a blind woman three decades and more previously when she made that allegation only after he was (falsely) convicted of similar offences?

Like I said, these people are sick.


William Merritt published a book on his investigation.



It covers the case in depth including the work he had done in Malta. Like Dave Lee Travis and Max Clifford, Rolf had been accused of other offences for which he was not tried but which had been introduced simply to further blacken his character and to poison the minds of the jury. This included accusations of offences committed out of the jurisdiction of the English courts.


One such uncharged offence levelled against Dave Lee Travis is worth mentioning because it was exposed in dramatic fashion. In 1973, he opened

a hospital radio station; at his first trial he was said to have put his hand up the skirt of a teenager and groped her while they were alone. This imaginary incident gave her forty years of Hell.



Dave Lee Travis assault caused woman '40 years of hell'

© 21 January 2014



GETTY IMAGES GETTY IMAGES

DJ Dave Lee Travis is on trial for 14 sexual offences

A woman who was allegedly assaulted by Dave Lee Travis says the incident caused her "40 years of hell".

The veteran BBC DJ was alone with the then 19-year-old at the opening of a hospital radio station in 1973 when he put his hand up her skirt and groped her, Southwark Crown Court heard.

Mr Travis, 68, denies 13 indecent assaults and one sexual assault.

***The Daily Telegraph* of January 21, 2014 reported that:**

The witness, who cannot be identified for legal reasons, said Travis had a “haunting look” on his face as he touched her and she felt “frightened” and “ashamed” so avoided watching him on the TV again.

Breaking down in tears, she said her ordeal had an effect on her love life with her late husband, who would never know why.

“When he put his arms around me, I’d freeze,” she said.

A teenage female fan who testified for the defence ridiculed this claim, but someone else who was apparently following the trial on TV had an even stronger refutation. This guy, and I presume it was a man, had filmed the event so contacted DLT’s legal team. Travis had turned up for the event

with his wife on his arm and an entourage in tow. Part of that film was uploaded to YouTube years ago but it appears to have been deleted at some point. Unlike the woman in Palm Beach who falsely accused a police officer of indecent assault – an act motivated by malice – this woman was clearly not right in the head. At least some of the false accusers of Rolf Harris appear to have been cut from the same cloth.

The reason this madness was possible and so many innocent lives were destroyed is because of statutes of limitations, or rather the lack of them. In many jurisdictions there are statutes of limitations for most crimes except murder. There are limitations in most civil proceedings too. There are sound reasons for this, the main one being – though not necessarily enunciated – is that without them, people can simply make things up, and they often do.

People make up stuff all the time, not only in a criminal context, but if someone is accused of committing a crime last year or a decade ago, very often it is impossible for an innocent person to disprove the claim, so if the accuser sounds credible – or more often, if the police and/or prosecutors choose to believe an accuser – the accused can find himself in hot water.

My personal view is that there should be statutes of limitations for reporting most sexual offences, but not for the offences themselves. If a woman reports a rape and her attacker is arrested ten years later, of course the authorities should go ahead and prosecute, but if a woman turns up at a police station and claims to have been raped five years ago, if that is all the police have to go on, they should show her the door. And when it comes to relatively trivial sexual offences like groping, prosecuting these ten, twenty, thirty years on, is outrageous. When I call these offences trivial, they are trivial for most victims, but for a man convicted of any sexual offence in the current climate, the consequences can be disastrous. If he is a professional person – a doctor, a dentist, an accountant – he will lose his career to begin with.

All the same, there are people and organisations who seek to remove statutes of limitations for sex crimes, like the two imbeciles behind this insidious outfit.



THE FOUNDATION FOR SURVIVORS OF ABUSE
WORKING TO REMOVE THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS TO PROVIDE JUSTICE & HEALING FOR SURVIVORS OF ABUSE

HOME ABOUT THE FACTS STATUTE OF LIMITATION REFORM RESOURCES CONTACT US SEA OF FACES | SEE THEIR FACES



One of their techniques is to push ludicrous statistics like these.



If these claims were true, half the male population of every country on Earth would deserve to be behind bars. Of course, allowance must be made for the young who may not understand what happened to them, but adult women who make these outrageous, backdated claims...come on. Back to Rolf Harris.

With his nightmare finally over, he was more or less left alone to spend his twilight years with his very slightly younger wife. He died May 10, 2023 aged 93; Alwen Hughes died September 12, 2024, aged 92.

Stripped of his honours, if not most of his wealth, the name Rolf Harris is largely considered mud today. Yet his downfall was based on lies – lie after lie after lie. By contrast, other male celebrities who have committed real and far worse crimes get the proverbial free pass. In 1977, film director Roman Polanski drugged a 13 year old girl and sexually violated her in three orifices. Facing a long prison sentence, he fled the United States for

Europe where he has lived ever since. Not only has he continued to make films, he has won a number of awards and even more nominations.

In July 1991, the heavyweight boxer Mike Tyson lured a six stone teenager to his hotel room and raped her. This earned him a six year sentence of which he served only three years. In 1999, he was given another prison sentence, this time for assaulting two men after a traffic incident. If you thought this might have affected his popularity, think again. Although his boxing career is long behind him, he is still in demand as a sports pundit.

Rolf Harris never did anything half as bad as this, but you wouldn't think so from the way he is portrayed in the media today, when he has not been erased from it.

How the net closed on Oxford's grooming gang

13 March 2020



By Michael Race

BBC News

Nearly a decade after the abuse of vulnerable girls in Oxford began to be addressed, following years of negligence by police and social services, the last of the so-called Operation Bullfinch trials has ended. How did the sex offenders at the centre of the city's depraved underworld finally come to face justice?

One final point I need to make, at the time of this madness, the so-called Savilisation of Britain, the *Operation Yewtree* witch-hunt, and the destruction of Rolf's legacy, there was some very real sexual abuse of the young going on in Britain, and it was swept under the carpet. This was the grooming gang scandal in which well over a thousand underage girls were being sexually abused and at times violently raped on an industrial scale.

These two lowlifes were the tip of a very large iceberg of depravity. They were given indeterminate sentences at Nottingham Crown Court, one to serve a minimum of eleven years, the other a minimum of eight.

Former Labour Home Secretary Jack Straw said that men like this regarded young white girls as “easy meat”.

Ringleaders of Derby sex abuse grooming gang jailed

🕒 7 January 2011



Both men were convicted of rape and sexual activity with a child

The ringleaders of a gang which groomed and abused teenage girls in Derby have been given indeterminate jail terms.

In another case, after an abortion was performed on a 13 year old girl at Rochdale Hospital, the police seized the foetus but were unable to match the DNA to any of their then current suspects. Three years later, her abuser was tried not for rape but for lesser offences. He received an eight year sentence and was released in four.

Rolf Harris was not only an A List celebrity but a tireless worker for charity throughout his professional life, yet rapists like Roman Polanski and Mike Tyson, and even some of the most depraved men on the face of this planet, received far more lenient treatment by the criminal justice system than him.

ROYAL BOUNTY

ROLF HARRIS, CLIFF RICHARD & The charity PHAB

Rolf is president of the charity Phab, founded over forty years ago to help break down some of the barriers faced by disabled people and to give them the same opportunities as their able-bodied friends. Rolf is also patron of a number of charities, and he regularly attends events throughout the year for a whole range of causes. Whatever the occasion, he is always very hands-on, giving himself fully and getting involved in the thick of things.



[from THE COURT OF KING ROLF

Tribute to ROLF HARRIS]

SIR CLIFF RICHARD

Sir Cliff Richard was the original president of Phab when the charity started, and he still supports the organization today. Alongside Rolf, Cliff is probably the only performer in the UK who has sustained a successful career spanning each decade since the Fifties.

The TRUTH About JIMMY SAVILE

<https://old.bitchute.com/video/hfBYZ68rTTEs/>

&

<https://archive.org/details/the-truth-about-jimmy-savile>

The Palm Beach incident:

<https://www.falserapetimeline.org/false-rape-1710.html>

and

<https://archive.org/details/how-the-police-convict>

The Prior Bad Acts Of Gloria Allred

<https://old.bitchute.com/video/CXW2aJWdTxDN/>

and

<https://archive.org/details/the-prior-bad-acts-of-gloria-allred-archive>

**Entry for Rolf Harris on *THE INTERNATIONAL FALSE RAPE
TIMELINE:***