

93c Venner Road,
Sydenham,
London SE26 5HU
0208 659 7713

March 15, 2021,

Dear Sir,

I assume this consultation has been re-opened due to the murder of Sarah Everard and especially due to the suspect being a serving police officer.

Firstly, the entire violence against women and girls narrative is a total fraud perpetuated by third wave feminism.

According to the so-called femicide census, in 2018, 149 women were “killed”, presumably unlawfully and all by men. Yet according to official statistics there were 769 homicides in about the same period (twelve months over 2018/2019). So the majority, indeed the vast majority of victims, were male.

Violent crime with a capital V the world over is generally committed by males aged from about 15 to 45.

Your paper includes references to prostitution and revenge porn. The best way to reduce the risk to prostitutes is to legalise brothels. A prostitute who takes a man home isn't breaking the law, but if two prostitutes work under the same roof, they are. Clearly two, three or more prostitutes working under the same roof is safer than one, or one picking up men in the street.

While I do not buy into the absurd and self-serving narrative of sex trafficking espoused by many former prostitutes, I think it is reasonable to offer women a way out, those who want to leave the profession.

Regarding revenge porn, this is a non-crime and should be treated as such, or at worst as a civil matter. If a woman is so stupid as to allow herself to be photographed or filmed in clearly pornographic poses, that is her choice, and surely the person who takes said film is the copyright holder. This applies to men as well. As you doubtless know, there are many websites that cater for “sex tapes”, ie home-made pornography, including homosexual pornography. The overwhelming majority of the people who produce this from around the world are utterly shameless.

Regarding rape, this may sound controversial, but the Government should end all funding for Rape Crisis and similar organisations. They should not receive a penny of public money, from central or local government, nor lottery funding; furthermore, they should have their charitable status revoked.

Rape Crisis and similar organisations produce grossly inflated statistics, and actually encourage women to make false allegations of rape, especially of an historical nature. There is abundant evidence for this. If a woman is raped, genuinely raped, she needs medical attention and the police, in that order or simultaneously. Wherever possible,

rape victims should be examined by female doctors - a suggestion that was made by the Metropolitan Police as long ago as 1932.

I'm not sure what you mean by "extreme sexism" but if it is abuse or heated words on-line, so what? As you are doubtless aware, there is a massive censorship lobby at work that attempts to shut down anyone it doesn't like, everyone from evangelists for various religions to militant atheists. Regarding so-called sexism, the journalist Sophie McBain let the cat out of the bag in September last year when reviewing a book by professional victim Laura Bates she said: "Misogyny does not affect all women equally..." In other words, some women are less likeable than others and interpret men who dislike them as women-haters, instead of looking in the mirror.

With regard to murder and crimes of serious violence, there is no need for any change in the law because murder is always a crime, unless a woman murders a man, in which case the corrupt lawyer Harriet Wistrich will beg to differ. If you think I'm joking, check out this video:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/HCRcq9kx3Tg/>

or this article:

<https://theduran.com/emma-jayne-magson-not-diminished-but-full-responsibility/>

With regard to the recent Sarah Everard murder and similar, we are all at risk from random attacks by psychopaths and especially by terrorists for those of us who live in major cities. If a deranged individual stabs a stranger in the back on the high street, there isn't much any of us can do. We can though ask women to take reasonable precautions, something Vera Baird calls victim blaming. The fact that most of the population are now walking around with cameras in their pockets and are easily tracked is the plus side of a near total surveillance society. The Sarah Everard murder could probably not have been avoided, but individuals who are clearly dangerous and are known to be can be dealt with, and should. Again, this is a problem for the police and the courts, not for agenda-driven special interest groups.

A. Baron