

DREADNOUGHT

A Journal of Conservative & Unionist Opinion

EDITOR: S.A. NOTHOLT No. 24 AUTUMN 1984

20p



GENGHIS KHAN
(1162-1227)

PHILOSOPHER AND STATESMAN

THE PAPER THEY COULDN'T KILL



Letters

FASCISTS IN THE YCs

Following your article, 'Democrat - the Evidence', in the last 'DREADNOUGHT' I have to confess that not only do I remember 'Democrat' but actually have several in my possession. Fired with archaeological fervour by your article, I conducted a thorough search of my bedroom cupboard, from which a foul stench of decay has emanated for several years. Imagine my horror, when, amidst the unwashed socks and discarded footy boots I discovered not only the expected 'Democrats' (issues 2,3 and 6) but an entire November 1979 National YC mailing, including appropriate 'YC News', 'Democrat' order form and first (and last?) edition of a publication called 'The European' which was apparently the product of the Young European Democrats.



Once assured by the Bomb Squad that such discoveries were inert and had, indeed, never been active in the first place, I proceeded to examine these interesting relics of the past. I was struck particularly by the total absence of politics from the issues of 'Democrat' (an exception being

the centre-page spread on Czech dissidents in issue 2). Given the political colouration of the YC's this is not only to be expected but is probably just as well. More disturbingly, evidence of Fascistic leanings amongst the National YC leadership of the day was all too apparent: the mast-head on the 'Democrat' logo for example was 'One People - One Nation' - highly reminiscent of the repugnant 'Ein Reich Ein Volk, Ein Führer' propaganda of the Nazis. Equally, the choice of the name 'The European' for the YED was a significant one given that this was the title of Mosley's paper in the 1950s and has since been adopted by a group called the National Socialist Action Party: the format of the latter's publication is strikingly similar to that of the YED and a thorough investigation should be carried out to determine whether any of these nauseous Fascists are still members of the Conservative Party.

The issue of 'YC News' included a summary of the YC's activities at the 1979 Party Conference, gleefully reproducing the coverage that these antics had received in the 'Guardian'. It seems that the YCs had produced a daily news-sheet called the 'Daily Con' (how apt) which featured a mock poll of Mrs Thatcher's "worst cabinet" including Julian Amery as Foreign Secretary. Julian Amery, in add-

ition to being a war-hero of the highest calibre, knows more about foreign affairs than any YC and to attack him in this fashion indicates that past YCs were no less contemptible than those we have to put up with today. They also attacked the conference debate on immigration - without quoting the result - presumably they lost - in which YC Chairman Bob Hughes and none other than Ms. Martine Kushner were booed for putting forward a view on immigration that, as the 'Guardian' put it, few Labour or Liberal speakers would have been ashamed of. Is this the first time that Kushner ventured out from under her flat stone to face the light of day, one wonders?

This whole fetid mess was badly mildewed - undoubtedly its inherent wetness contributed to this - so I am sending the whole lot to you in a sealed, airtight bag before it goes off.

Yours faithfully,
Prof. G. Lyck, PhD (Arch.)

(Reader in Pedology at the Polytechnic of Ulan Baator, Mongolia)

Cheers - fumigation of our office is now nearly finished. The assorted relics will shortly be going on display at our Museum of Discredited Fascist Literature.

- SAN

THE RIGHT TO EDUCATION

Dear Stuart,

If this letter had a title it would be 'In Defence of Pat Harrington.' I do not share his political beliefs, as a libertarian Conservative Nationalist, I regard the NF's policies as idiotic; frankly if they ever came to power and put their economic views into practice I think we'd envy the repatriated! However, that's not the point; Harrington has a right to his beliefs and the right to an education.

What we are living through at the moment is McCarthyism in reverse. Then it was Right wingers getting paranoid about 'Communists' now it's Left wingers getting paranoid

about 'racists' - with less justification: after all, the Americans were at war with the Communists during the early '50s. Furthermore, the whole affair of Pat Harrington is exposing the Left in its true colours. I might instance Harriet Harman, formerly of the National Council for Civil Liberties, failing to stand up for Pat Harrington's right to an education on "Question Time."

As for the allegation that his presence at North London Poly is a threat to the freedom of Left wingers, Blacks, Women (or rather feminists; there's one hell of a difference!) how on earth can one

HOME DEFENCE

Dear Sir,

The latest suggestion that a Home Defence Volunteer force be set up to assist in the defence of this country against invasion has been greeted with the inevitable derision of the Left-wing and liberal press. The 'Guardian' for example, covered the story under the predictable "Dad's Army" headline.

I believe that such contempt represents a veiled attempt by liberals and Marxists to sabotage the defence of the United Kingdom. We see much the same response from Leftist councils who refuse to co-operate with civil defence pre-

LETTERS CONTINUE PAGE ELEVEN

THE RIGHT TO EDUCATION

bloke threaten hundreds? Putting it bluntly, if he can put up with them, why can't they put up with him? As for the NF's habit of printing its enemies' addresses and phone numbers, this is deplorable. So, I might add, was the printing of the names of hundreds of NF members by a Communist publication "Forewarned Against Fascism" in 1978 - so is the Socialist Workers' Party's habit of daubing the homes of NF candidates

Regarding the statements that Harrington is a 'fascist' or a 'Nazi', after all its policy changes of the last few years, one can't call the NF Nazis of the Hitler variety. And even if he was, so what? Look at the organizations who've attacked him - the Socialist Workers' Party; I stand by every word I said about them in "DREADNOUGHT 9". "Militant"; unthinking Trotskyite clones. London Labour Briefing; pro-IRA, pro the Brixton rioters, pro-handing over the Falklands to Argentina. The Spartacist League; supporters of hanging Mrs Thatcher for sinking the 'Belgrano', supporters of the Russians in Afghanistan, of the murder of Lord Mountbatten, of the shooting down of the South Korean airliner. All of them admirers of the Bolshevik terror regime of Lenin and Trotsky. Are these people any better than Fascists? Also - hasn't it occurred to their 'minds' that people can change their views? Mine changed in my three years at Essex University.

As for the allegation that Pat Harrington's views violate ILEA policy, all he's tried to do at North London Poly is attend lectures. In addition I violently disagree with ILEA policy. The trendy-Lefties in charge there seek to denigrate our Empire, sneering at great men like the late Cecil Rhodes. They're out to force down our kid's throats views on 'racism' and 'sexism' totally at variance with those of most of the ratepayers who pay for ILEA's schools. I agree with the kid quoted in a SWP publication called 'Teaching London's Kids' who said to a teacher: "We've got a right to our opinions. You've no right to force us to be anti-racist". To amplify my views, I refer readers to my leaflet "Youth Liberation". Why am I so passionate on this subject? I was bullied at

school; I know what it's like to have a mob against you.

Yours sincerely,
Mark Taha

HOME DEFENCE

cautions. Their conclusion is that since (as they claim) a nuclear war would result in the extinction of the British nation then civil defence is a useless diversion. Such defeatism also extends to the liberal view of a conventional conflict. They fear the creation of an armed civil militia, and fear even more the sense of Nationalism that membership of such a body would encourage, especially amongst young folk.

Soviet military strategy calls for the activation, immediately prior to any major conflict, of cells of sympathisers in the West, who would, in concert with airborne units, operate behind our lines to sabotage communications and industrial establishments. The guarding of such installations would place an impossible strain on the regular service, who would be stretched to the limit in any case. Whilst it is true that HDV guards might be of only limited value against the crack Soviet "Spatsnaz" covert units, they would be better than nothing at all and would certainly prove effective against Communist trouble-makers and rioters. They could also warn the regular services of trouble, provide necessary back-up, patrols and paramedical assistance and observation.



Рис. 42. Основные виды и разновидности автоматов:
1 - автомат с складной рукояткой, 2 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой, 3 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом, 4 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой, 5 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом, 6 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой, 7 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом, 8 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой, 9 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом, 10 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой, 11 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом, 12 - автомат с регулируемым прицелом и складной рукояткой.

Those whose 'anti-militarism' extends to the ridiculous extent of trying to prevent children from playing with toy guns would also do well to consider that all Soviet children are trained in the use of real guns by the time they are fifteen. Thus the USSR can call upon a vast reserve army in time of conflict.



Illustrated: Soviet manual for school-children about to start military training.

A possible equitable solution presents itself, ironically, in the form of the Communist-inspired 'peace studies' now being taught in many schools. I am willing to suspend my opposition to 'peace studies' on the condition that school children be offered the choice of reading 'military studies' (including basic weapons training) as an alternative. How many red-blooded British kids would turn down the option of learning to shoot in favour of reading a load of sipping CND propaganda? Pretty damn few, and those that did would probably spring from exactly the type of wet middle-class family that would be the first to collaborate with a Red take-over of this country, and thus shouldn't be allowed near a firearm, (unless on the receiving end of a firing squad), much less taught how to fire one.

Naturally, such a provocative course of action would raise howls of anguish from Marxist teachers and from liberalism in general. But the defence of our Nation is too important to warrant listening to the moaning of such a potentially treacherous minority.

Yours faithfully,
Peter Lloyd