

Alexander Baron

Are you next going to be saying the Feinberg speech was also "fabricated" by Eustace Mullins?

Every statement made by Rabinovitch, Israel Cohen and Feinberg is based on agenda contained in the Protocols of Zion, which Henry Ford said simply 'it fits', and have been 95% fulfilled. But you, of course, know better.

For someone who constantly boasts about their ability to reference everything they write, where is your evidence that Eustace Mullins "fabricated" this speech?????

You are defaming a fine man who is no longer able to defend his honour. Shame on you. But then writing in a derogatory manner about honourable and good men who have fought on the side of truth and right is your trademark isn't it?

By the same token where is your evidence that this speech and this book is not authentic?

The statement you make is in itself just a fabrication of your mind.

If you don't know what international jewish finance is, then you are not very well read. Or if you are, you seem to rate yourself as an authority over and above many fine authors of a far more noble background.

No wonder we all prefer to read David Irving who, unlike you, does not use a non-de plume.

(Below from Maclean's Magazine in Canada. Rabbi Feinberg advocates the total inter-mating of the Negro and White races into, "One World - One Race")

RABBI ABRAHAM L. FEINBERG says the one solution to racial conflict is interracial marriage

FOR SMALL-L LIBERALS — and most Canadians fall into this category — one of the most nagging dilemmas of their creed is the gap between the preaching and the practice of racial equality. We support the civil rights movement in the U. S.;

This "no," this refusal to sanction the mixing of the races, is the final bastion of race hostility. Until we learn to fight our ingrown fears of sexual relations between the races, the end of the race problem will not be in sight. Only when we no longer raise our eyebrows at the sight of a Negro holding hands with a white girl will the West have begun to tear the racial poison from its vitals. Such a change will involve an inside-out remodelling of the white psyche, for our fear of mixed marriages is deeply rooted. But the change must begin sometime. Why not now?

One reason why not, according to those who bridle at the thought of miscegenation, is that there is some basic, physiological incompatibility between the races. Allied to this notion is the fear, seldom expressed but nevertheless real, that "mongrelization" of the races would somehow result in an inferior human product. Neither notion stands up to close analysis.

Finally, there is the objection (and perhaps "objection" is the wrong word to apply to such an emotional attitude) that some races are innately savage. According to this view — and it's surprising how many earnest liberals half-believe it — the chaos in the Congo and the mob violence in Harlem spring from a single black source. History shows, however, that no race, regardless of its technological progress, has a monopoly on savagery.

By emphasizing these unhappy similarities between the races, I do not mean to suggest that there are no differences. Of course there are. Races do differ — in the frequency of blood-type distribution, in taste sensitivity, in hairiness and perhaps in body smell (to cite only a few areas where variations have been

MACLEAN'S REVIEWS

SEPTEMBER 5.



Rabbi Abraham Feinberg



Interracial Marriage is becoming more common in America

scientifically verified).

Furthermore, there are racial differences which at first glance seem to support the segregationist view that some races are inferior. On intelligence tests involving symbolic analysis, Negroes and Indians are said to perform less well than Whites. And during the Second World War, the percentage of Negroes rejected by the draft on mental grounds was six times the white percentage. These are real disabilities, and leaders of the U. S. civil rights movement must recognize them and try to overcome them. But Negro disabilities have their roots in generations of systematic, ghettoized, all-pervasive rejection and repression by the whites.

Certainly, the mixed couple contemplating marriage faces a whole sea of troubles that couples of the same race never encounter. So do their children. But there is some evidence to suggest that the barriers imposed by society are beginning to crumble. In New York, interracial marriages appear to be on the increase, although precise statistics are difficult to obtain because applicants for marriage licences are not required to state their racial origin.

If anything, the law should encourage, not forbid, the intermingling of "bloods." Then the prestige of the state would buttress a basic scientific fact — and anthropology professors who now whisper their defense of interracial marriages would shout it from the housetops.

ONE WORLD, ONE RACE

But legislation cannot change the human heart. The only way we can accomplish that, the only way we can achieve a Final Solution to racial prejudice, is to create a mélange of races so universal that no one can preen himself on his racial "purity" or practise the barbarism to safeguard it.

The deliberate encouragement of interracial marriages is the only way to hasten this process. And it may be that time is growing short. The dominance of our world has begun to shift, like cargo in a listing vessel, from the white races to the colored. The sooner we adjust to this fact, the better it will be for our children. For we might well acknowledge, even the most enlightened of us, that we will never completely eliminate racial prejudice until we eliminate separate races.