

The Latest News

Imaginary Crimes Against The Young



CRIME FEATURED GNEWS

By Alexander Baron

**198**
SHARES

Crimes against the young, especially sex crimes, hit a visceral nerve with many people, and when these crimes include torture and murder, the reaction can be violent. Those of a certain vintage may remember the outrage caused by the Moors Murders. If you are not of that vintage, you will find footage of this case and many others on YouTube...scenes of men, and mostly women, standing outside court buildings having to be restrained by the police. Jeers and occasional missiles thrown at vehicles conveying the accused. What though if the crimes concerned are purely imaginary? That is the case with *Operation Midland* and related investigations into imaginary paedophile rings that are said to have operated and even to be operating still at the very top of the establishment, not only in England but throughout the British Isles, and according to some tiny minds, in the United States, Australia and elsewhere.



Moors murders: Myra Hindley (right) and her partner Ian Brady (left),

October 1965

These paedophile rings are often involved with **Satanic ritual abuse**. While child sexual abuse is real enough, Satanic ritual abuse is largely a myth. Even where either exist they are confined to small, often very small groups of men – always overwhelmingly men; child sexual abuse is most often carried out by a single perpetrator. In North Korea, the late and unlamented Soviet Russia or even the old Libyan régime, sexual depravity of this nature would be possible on a nationwide scale because such societies are controlled entirely from the top down, and what the man at the very top says, goes. For all its myriad faults, under liberal democracies (so-called), things like this cannot happen. True, police officers have been **working undercover** in environmentalist groups for years. Police and politicians can cover things up as in the Hillsborough disaster, but almost invariably in such cases the cover-up is worse than the crime itself – think Watergate.

It would be logically impossible for hundreds or even thousands of politicians, Freemasons, police officers and others to operate networks that trafficked in underage boys, bred babies for sacrifice, and all the other rubbish that is the product either of real world trolls or seriously disturbed minds. Nevertheless, rumours and nonsense of this nature have persisted throughout the Twentieth and on into the Twenty-First Century, and the refusal of the authorities to learn the lessons of **Pendle**, Salem, **McMartin**, even Cleveland, have caused and are continuing to cause immense suffering to innocent men and on occasion women.

The Metropolitan Police and other forces throughout the UK are currently investigating all manner of alleged historical crimes against the young. While it is not only possible but likely that some fairly serious crimes committed years and decades ago have not been solved or even reported, the resources put into investigating these fantasies – many of which were allegedly committed by men who are now long dead – cannot be justified on any reasonable grounds, and indeed are detracting from the work the police should be doing in this area today. In addition to that, their new *believe the victim* attitude is positively encouraging all manner of mentally disturbed individuals, fantasists, attention seekers and chancers to come forward with at times ludicrous allegations which will drain resources further, and in many cases will cause real harm, including to the complainants.

Does anyone truly believe that feeding the fantasies of **Richard Kerr** or **Esther Baker** will benefit either of these clearly demented individuals? Of those people no longer in this world, the two most recent high profile targets are former Home Secretary Leon Brittan and former Prime Minister Edward Heath. The Leon Brittan case has been **discussed here previously**, but let us look not at the allegation that he was a paedophile but that he raped a teenager in 1967.



Leon Brittan (Credits: DavidPlas.be)

This allegation was made in, get this, 2012, ie 45 years later. As this was in the wake of the Jimmy Savile revelations (so-called), the inspiration for the “victim” is clear. Brittan was questioned under caution at the time, but no further action was taken. Why would the police even tolerate this sort of insanity? Does anyone believe they would have investigated an alleged common or garden assault, a burglary, indeed anything less than a murder after all that time?



Jimmy Savile at Top of the Pops in 1964

Here is the *Exaro* website's [take on this nonsense](#). Note what she said she was told by the police when she first reported this phantom rape: it wasn't rape because she didn't say no, and she was outraged. For real?

The people at *Exaro* were equally outraged because the Crown Prosecution Service refused to give charging advice in this case, they even go so far as [to compare](#) it with the case of Arnis Zalkalns. Zalkalns was the prime suspect in the disappearance of 14 year old Alice Gross, who was later [found murdered](#). He committed suicide shortly afterwards so cannot face trial, but he was seen in the area at the time of her disappearance, because of this, and his antecedents, he was rightly the prime suspect. A real crime had been committed. In what way is that comparable with the case of a woman who claims she was raped 45 years earlier when she says she had sex with a man, didn't resist, didn't even say no?



Arnis Zalkalns

This one was clearly too much for even the odious [Alison Saunders](#), and it is easy to understand why. Now let us look at the Edward Heath allegations. Ted Heath was not a regular guy, but there is absolutely no credible evidence that he was a sexual predator nor that he was homosexual. Most unusually for a Prime Minister, he was unmarried, and this led, inevitably to rumours, which in the cyberage have taken on a life of their own. Indeed, as early as 1974, sections of the public who had no interest in politics were subjected to innuendo about his sexuality, as in this classic [Barclay James Harvest song](#). The man himself appears never to have confronted such rumours and innuendo, probably choosing not to dignify them with a response. Let us not forget either that Heath had powerful and ugly enemies, on the right as well as the left. If there had been any real evidence that he dropped his trousers for other men, much less buggered boys, he would have been brought down in double quick time. The available credible evidence suggests that Heath had little or no interest in sex, even as a young man. He had three passions: politics, music and yachting, not necessarily in that order, but politics was definitely his first love. Heath's musical prowess was out of the ordinary, he was an accomplished organist, and even conducted orchestras on occasion.



Sir Edward Heath was Prime Minister 1970 - 1974

Since the Savile affair, all manner of allegations have been made about Heath and many others; his most bizarre accuser is probably Michael Shrimpton, who claimed Jimmy Savile delivered boys to his yacht where they were murdered. In February this year he was given a 12 month sentence for making a bizarre bomb hoax, and let's not talk about his liking for indecent images of young boys. Would you believe Shrimpton is, or rather was, a barrister?

On August 4, when [this madness resurfaced](#), two people who knew Heath expressed surprise. One was a police officer who had lived next door to him for seven years, and another, Wilf Weeks of the Edward Heath Charitable Foundation, said that Heath would seldom be alone, having a detective with him and even a chauffeur. That would not have been so in earlier life, but again it is not difficult to see why these ludicrous allegations are being made now. Ted Heath is dead, he was a high profile politician, and since *Operation Yewtree*, there has been an open invitation from the police, NSPCC and anti-rape organisations (so-called) to step forward and point the finger. If you invite nutters to make allegations and give them a free pass for doing so, nutters will make allegations.

It should also be pointed out that Ted Heath was photographed with Jimmy Savile on occasion, and like the hapless [Rolf Harris](#), some demented individuals have quite likely confabulated the two. If you, dear reader, are at all skeptical of this proposition, consider the following.

In 2006, more than 31 million prescriptions were [issued for Prozac](#) and similar antidepressants in the UK. That means there are hundreds of thousands of people taking them regularly. Many such drugs, including Prozac, can cause hallucinations. Lyrica can cause hallucinations and weird dreams. Then there are all the other drugs people take legally and illegally, often in combination. How many cannabis users are there in the UK? How many people drink alcohol regularly? It is one thing for a woman to walk into a police station or dial 999 reporting a rape that has just happened. Contrary to the brazen liars of [Women Against Rape](#), most rape victims fight back, are bruised or have other indications that they have been raped. What though if a woman, or in this case a man, claims to have been violated five, ten or more years ago? How do we know it happened? More to the point, how does the "victim" know it happened?

Because there is no meaningful deterrent for false allegations, only the occasional prosecution as in the recent [Ben Fellows case](#), why should not an endless procession of nutters make false allegations against especially, dead politicians? Check out the [recent press release](#) issued by the Metropolitan Police, and ask yourself if this not an extremely ill-advised request?

The most shocking aspect of this witch-hunt is that the police appear to know what they are doing, but don't care. False allegations of sexual offences are extremely common without considering the historical aspect. Police officers and prosecuting lawyers in both the UK and the United States will tell you that around half of rape or sexual assault allegations are false, although they will do so only off the record. Telling "victims" they will be believed only makes matters worse because genuine victims know they will be believed.



Cliff Richard (Image Credits: Eva Rinaldi)

In the case of the investigation into Cliff Richard, the police **contrived maliciously** to provoke allegations against the performer whether or not they were false, as undoubtedly they all are. If though they can entice enough of these cranks to come forward, they can choose the best four or five which a duplicitous QC can present as a pattern of behaviour. If you think that is such a tall order, check out Judy Wood or Niels Harrit sometime. Listen to their insane ravings about the 9/11 attacks, then remember they are both accredited academics, and ask yourself how you would like to argue with **a professor of mechanical engineering** who says the Twin Towers were “dustified” by a mysterious ray. Then think how much more difficult it would be if you were a barrister to cross-examine four or five rational sounding individuals who claimed they had been groped by your client years or decades ago, had never spoken about it because they were too ashamed, but decided now the time had come because others had shown “great courage” in coming forward, so they decided to do the same. How would you refute the summing up of the Crown’s QC who remarked snarkily that none of these accusers knew each other, yet they had all told essentially the same story, so unless this was some sort of massive conspiracy involving them, the police and the CPS, your client must be guilty? How would you do that, especially as expert evidence about the utter worthlessness of such historical accounts is not allowed in English courtrooms?

Like the late Ted Heath, Cliff Richard is widely believed to be homosexual – although there are women out there who know different. Richard is a target because like Heath he has never married, he is a man who values his privacy, and to some extent he lives an unusual lifestyle – one of the privileges of wealth, but Ted Heath, Cliff Richard and all the other victims of this perfidy, alive or dead, will not be spared unless and until somebody sufficiently high up the food chain says enough is enough, and pulls the plug.

Alas, this ludicrous witch-hunt shows no signs of abating, even though the authorities are aware of the limitations of human memory, the frailty of testimony, and the malice of those many thousands of demented individuals of both sexes who would relish nothing better than to destroy a politician, a businessman or an artiste – alive or dead – if it grants themselves their fifteen minutes of fame.