



Alexander Baron

<http://www.infotextmanuscripts.org>

3 Comments

The Latest News

1 Alexander Baron ▾

Recommend 1

Share

Sort by Best ▾



Join the discussion...



Brenda Rivera · 8 months ago

Another trash propaganda Article!!! More Spin against the truth. Here another troll author using the same old false "shame, blame game" tactic of attacking the criminal allegation. Painting a picture of said allegations as being so "ridiculous", "false" or "fabricated", they should not be believed.

The tactic used by this "author" in this article has been used in every "case" whenever such allegations come forward. This method is used for one reason only, it works. It works in swaying people away from knowing the "truth" in said accusations.

Why? To grossly protect "all" perverse criminals carrying out such dehumanizing crimes. For such egregious crimes occur continuously by both powerful men and women who do NOT want to get caught, go to jail or STOP their self-serving egregious abuses against their victims.

However true justice and judgment shall fall upon all those who carry out such monstrous crimes and upon all those who criminal "protect" such perverted fiends without exception!!!!!!

And there is nothing or no-one to protect any of the human trolls hunting, harming or hiding in plain view of the world, who infested humanity with their evils and perversions of wickedness. For their end has come.

^ | ▾ · Reply · Share >



ecstatist · 2 years ago

Assuming that there is a statute of limitations of some arbitrary number of years and some very strong evidence turns up (say a non-digital film - almost impossible to fake) of a child being brutally raped (by a celebrity?) how would you feel if you had to go to the victim who had been unable to get a successful prosecution for 30 years and you explain to her that there is nothing anyone can now do (legally) to the perpetrator? How would you feel? How do you think the victim would feel (and all the other victims?)

There is no need for a statute of limitations providing the court judges evidence wisely and ensures that the investigation has been even-handed. Your only reasoning for this statute is to prevent bad judging and yet you make an exception for murder where judgement errors have much worse consequences.

Politicians would still get away with murder. The Lockerbie judgement was shockingly wrong because of political pressure. No physical evidence. The only witness with any form of evidence (relying on highly unreliable human abilities of recognition and memory) was paid \$ 1,000,000 by the CIA.

Are you a Catholic? How many priests were convicted wrongly of crimes that had been committed decades before. Almost none?

Gary Glitter is a terrible example. Michael Jackson paid \$50,000 hush money to one of the first accusers.

There have been many innocent people found guilty by grossly negligent police/prosecutor/judge/jury work. Yet they have "professional" immunity. There must be more accountability from these arrogant people.

^ | v · Reply · Share >



Coleen Bean · 2 years ago

Excellent article Alexander.

^ | v · Reply · Share >