


The Cosby Conspiracy

By **Alexander Baron** - Dec 22, 2015



Bill Cosby

319
SHARES

Since October last year when allegations against veteran comedian Bill Cosby resurfaced and many more women accused him of sexual impropriety, there has been talk of him being the victim of a conspiracy. At one time the very use of that word was enough to draw howls of laughter and derision, especially when used against officialdom, but now the world and its dog are on-line, that simple charge cuts no ice because we've all seen the way the police for example overstep the mark be it using *agents provocateurs* to incite violence **at peaceful demonstrations**, or even sending undercover agents into **non-violent activist groups** where they have sired children by unsuspecting young women.

Nevertheless, there are still idiots like **Chris Spivey** out there who make outrageous claims about **false flags** and massive government plots, so we should always use that

word conspiracy with reserve. Is there indeed a conspiracy against Bill Cosby? The answer is there wasn't initially, but now there most definitely is; the allegations against him began as a bandwagon, but so many women have since joined in and so many lies are being spread wilfully by both social and mainstream media and by the people behind this campaign that it is now more absurd to claim there is no conspiracy rather than there is one. And the people behind it? First and foremost, attorney Gloria Allred. The object of this conspiracy though is not simply to destroy Cosby's reputation, to screw money out of him or even possibly to see him behind bars, it is first and foremost **to abolish statutes of limitations** for sexual crimes throughout the United States.

The conspirators are using all manner of lies and deceitful rhetoric to accomplish that goal, and they are quite open about it. On November 21 last year they registered the domain **cosbyrape.org**; the name of the registrant is Frank Zen. There are a number of people of that name, but this particular Frank Zen probably does not exist. That is not important, many people choose to register domains anonymously for all manner of non-sinister reasons. This domain has no overt connection with Gloria Allred, and as Miss Allred is not shy about making arrogant claims on her own account, there is no reason to suspect her of any direct involvement with it, nevertheless, the conspirators are all working towards the same goal.

Because of the fluid nature of the medium, the following references will be to archived webpages. If you are not *au fait* with the Internet Archive, **check it out**.

At the time of writing – December 20, 2015 – the site's homepage reports **58 survivors of Bill Cosby** and counting. The word survivor is one that is favoured by the airheads **on American campuses**, the implication is that all these women were in mortal danger on account of their encounters with Cosby – real and imagined. This is totally dishonest. Certainly a woman who is drugged can be called a survivor, even if she is not raped, because administering anyone drugs without that individual's informed consent is a risky business – ask any pharmacist. However, many of these women do not claim Cosby either drugged or raped them. For example, the fruitcake **Helen Hayes claims** that Cosby indecently assaulted her in a restaurant at a tennis tournament in 1973, when she was a teenager; she says simply that he grabbed her breast. Even if that incident had happened, would she really have been in mortal danger in a public place?



Helen Hayes – right (ABC7)

Carla Ferrigno claims **Cosby grabbed her** and kissed her forcibly in 1967; she augments her fantasy with a claim that she had refused several drinks from him with the implication that he was trying to drug her, but again, can she really be called a survivor?

Number 51 on their list is Lachele Covington, who was just twenty years old, and young enough to be Cosby's granddaughter when she claims he came on to her. She reported **this incident** promptly, and for this reason if for no other, her allegation is credible, but what actually happened? Alone with Cosby, she rejected his advances and told him she was leaving, to which he replied "That's fine". Furthermore, the district attorney who looked into this allegation concluded – rightly – that no offence had been committed aside from the offence Lachele Covington took, so how can she be said to have "survived" Cosby?



Lachele Covington (Image Credits: Radar Online)

One of the most ludicrous claims is that of Donna Barrett, who says Cosby **sexually assaulted her** in the middle of a sports field. She is one of the most recent “victims”, having made this claim eleven years after. She certainly met Cosby, but she doesn’t look unhappy in the photograph in which they appear together. Is she really a “survivor”, honestly?

In addition to its stupid, overblown rhetoric, **cosbyrape.org** promotes blatant lies. Let’s take just three of his accusers: Chloe Goins, **Joan Tarshis and Louisa Moritz**. All three of these women have made extremely serious allegations against Cosby, yet there is no evidence Goins ever met him, ditto Tarshis – a fruitcake who communicates telepathically with aliens – as for Miss Moritz, she was one of those accusers who was foolish enough or perhaps insane enough to give a specific location and date for her oral rape by Cosby. And he wasn’t there!

Let us pause for a minute, one reason for statutes of limitations is that people's memories fade, another is that it is all but impossible for an innocent man to prove he did not commit a crime that is said to have happened years or decades ago but which has only recently been reported. Ah, you say, since when did an innocent man have to prove his innocence? The answer appears to be when a dozen or fifty accusers crawl out of the woodwork and throw mud at him. This tactic has been used extensively by prosecutors in the UK where there are no statutes of limitations, and it is one juries find difficult to get past. After all, they reason, can there really be that much smoke with no fire at all?

Because of the time lag involved, most of the allegations made against Cosby can be neither proved nor disproved, but there are surely more than enough of these for the people behind this website to rely on. The fact that they are prepared to adduce not only ridiculous claims but clearly false ones proves without a doubt their bad intentions, but in spite of the hate and bile heaped on Cosby over the past year, he is not the main target, rather he is a means to an end, the removal of statutes of limitations for sex crimes throughout America – real crimes and imaginary ones. Indeed, their evil work has already borne fruit in Nevada. Here is what they say.



Lise-Lotte Lublin

According to Lise-Lotte Lublin, she was drugged and raped by Cosby **in 1989**, a claim she made only in February this year when the bandwagon had been rolling for some considerable time. According to Miss Lublin, the statute of limitations in Nevada was (a mere) four years, so that if someone turned up five years after raping a woman, produced a video of the rape and a signed confession, nothing could be done,

something she said she and her coven found unconscionable. Her application of this kind of emotional but duplicitous testimony resulted in an increase of the statute of limitations to twenty years.

The first objection that must be made here is that statutes of limitations should concern in the first instance the reporting of crimes, so that if a woman reports a rape reasonably promptly, and twenty years later her attacker is arrested, that should be no barrier to his prosecution. One of the harpies in the above video points out there is no statute of limitations on murder; murder is a crime that leaves physical evidence, usually at least the body of the victim. The crimes alleged against Cosby are based on fragmented memories, delusions and lies concocted years and decades after the event, or in most cases the non-event. We have known for decades that even honest memories of things that happened years and decades past are unreliable, that the human memory can be “edited”, even accidentally, like a *Wikipedia* page as the world’s leading expert on this subject is fond of saying. In this connection, it is well to recall this **very short video**, and to take note of what Elizabeth Loftus says, namely that false memories are every bit as convincing as real ones, there is in fact no way of telling them apart, and that is when the person recalling the experience is 100% truthful, has no vested interest and nothing to gain in recalling it. Can that truthfully be said of any of Cosby’s belated accusers?

Before considering this issue further we are fortunate now to have another benchmark against which to compare the claims of some of them, that of the now convicted serial rapist **Daniel Holtzclaw**. One of the claims made about Cosby is that he was such a powerful man that his victims were too afraid to report him. In August this year, Gloria Allred produced **three new accusers**, including one who claimed Cosby had raped her orally in a studio office then bundled her into her car and sent her home.

Compare her with the woman **who brought Holtzclaw to book**, after he made clear his intentions, she pleaded with him, and acquiesced fearing that if she did not comply, he would kill her. Then she reported this (almost unbelievable) assault immediately. We know Holtzclaw assaulted many other women who did not come forward, but only because they were genuinely vulnerable, women on the fringe of society, perhaps none too literate or intelligent, while he was a man with a gun. One of Holtzclaw’s accusers even turned up to the trial high on drugs! Can the same be said of any of Cosby’s

accusers, truly? These were not vulnerable women, they were actresses or *aspiring* actresses, or *aspiring* somethings. Clearly Cosby knew some of them, including in the Biblical sense, and just as clearly they went with him voluntarily hoping no doubt to advance their careers. There is a word for women who behave like that, but it is not victim, nor survivor.

Having said all that, is indeed possible that some of the allegations levelled against Cosby are true, but as after the passage of time we have no physical evidence and there is no possibility of proper corroboration, all these claims should be consigned to the dustbin of history, every single one of them. Certainly they should not be exploited to change the law, a change that if enacted will give *carte blanche* to any demented female to point the finger at a celebrity, a teacher, a care worker, a neighbour, a relative, ten, twenty or thirty and more years down the line saying that man raped me. Women do this for all manner of reasons, including confabulation, mental impairment, attention seeking and many others.

With celebrities and indeed anyone who makes the news, one accusation from a demented female can and often does lead to more, and again we have juries asking how can there be this much smoke with no fire at all?

It should be noted that it is not only demented or simply evil women from whom men are at risk, but other men. Ask **Paul Gambaccini**. And it is not only men who are at risk from false allegations. Ask **Maggie Kirkpatrick**.

In the UK, police officers love these cases because persecuting middle aged or even quite elderly men for phantom crimes is far easier than chasing real criminals, who might just shoot back, but they should beware, because even they can fall victim to madwomen; recently a police officer in England stood trial **for historical rape allegations**. And before anymore politicians are swayed by **cosbyrape.org**, Gloria Allred and other members of this filthy cabal, they should remember **Nigel Evans**. Yes, even politicians are not safe from these lunatics.

It is time the people in the mainstream media if not those on social media began doing their homework or simply telling the truth as it is now revealed about many of these accusers. Whether or not the media people want to, they may soon have no choice,

because the crusade initiated by Gloria Allred is about to backfire in spectacular fashion as Cosby has filed counterclaims against seven of the accusers who are suing him for defamation. His attorney Monique Pressley did not mince words when she said these accusers were making “malicious, opportunistic and defamatory accusations of sexual misconduct”. When asked on camera how she dismissed these seven women **she replied simply**: “By saying that it’s not true”. Go girl!

Legal actions of this nature can take some time to progress through the courts, but Gloria Allred in particular has clearly pushed Cosby too far. When three or four of these harpies have been exposed for what they are, it might be that even The Young Turks realise a lie parroted incessantly by ten, a hundred or even a thousand true believers is still a lie, no matter how many of the sheeple swallow it. More importantly it may go some way towards preventing feminist fanatics, damned liars, and seriously disturbed women perverting the rule of law in the United States, by halting their insidious attacks on statutes of limitations.

This opinion article was written by an independent writer. The opinions and views expressed herein are those of the author and are not necessarily intended to reflect those of [TheLatestNews.com](http://www.thelatestnews.com)

319
SHARES