

# Building 7 – Something Stupid In Denmark

By **Alexander Baron** - Apr 7, 2015

---



**3**  
SHARES

How do the events of September 11, 2001 end up the subject of litigation in a Danish courtroom? How about when an accredited academic with a PhD in Chemistry sues a journalist who has accused him of perpetuating cranky ideas about what really happened on that day? Surely we all know: fanatics hijacked four planes and used them as bombs, two of them hitting the Twin Towers, bringing them down, changing the world forever. Right?

Yes, that is right, whatever the Bush Administration did afterwards, but there is no convincing some people, and the truly frightening thing is that a handful of these people have credentials that make most of us look dumb, there is for example Judy Wood, who

was immortalised in **an enigmatic song** by Ace Baker. Her *theory* is that the Twin Towers were **dustified**. Yes, you did hear right.

The person at the centre of the Danish court case is not her but another PhD, Niels Harrit; he lost a libel action to a journalist who branded him a crackpot, and is **appealing the verdict** to the High Court. So what does Professor Harrit believe? His big achievement in this case is to have the court view a film of the collapse of Building 7; the conventional wisdom is that Building 7 was brought down by fires that burned out of control for seven hours. When the 9/11 Truth cranks proselytise this issue they make three claims:

Very few people know that a third building collapsed that day.

Building 7 was not hit by a plane.

No other steel framed building has ever collapsed so quickly due solely to fire.

To put it mildly, these claims are a crock.

In the first place, Building 7 was 47 storeys high, and would have been the tallest building by far in most of the world's great cities. A building of that size does not collapse unnoticed.

In the second place, lots of buildings collapse without being hit by planes; earthquakes and fire can both cause buildings to collapse, even big ones.

In the third place, Building 7 suffered massive structural damage prior to its demise; the professionals on the ground warned of its impending collapse at least two hours before it came down. Indeed, there are both photographs and footage of the damage it suffered; the reason most people don't realise this is because of the massive pall of smoke that hung over Manhattan that terrible day. The most telling, undeniable fact about it though is that while people were dying in their hundreds elsewhere including leaping from the tops of buildings, not one person died when Building 7 collapsed, because it was evacuated, as was the surrounding area. Why would the authorities have done this if they thought it was safe?

For those who are still not convinced, here is a short but **excellent video** by a man named Edward Current. It is difficult to believe Harrit is the scientist and Current the comedian. We'll see who is laughing by the end of the week.

*This opinion article was written by an independent writer. The opinions and views expressed herein are those of the author and are not necessarily intended to reflect those of TheLatestNews.com*

**3**  
SHARES

**Alexander Baron**

<http://www.infotextmanuscripts.org>